Genomic Psychiatry

∂ OPEN

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Deciphering the molecular basis of accelerated biological aging in substance use disorder: Integrative transcriptomic analysis Bruno Kluwe-Schiavon¹, Laura Stertz¹, Tatiana Barichello¹, Thomas D. Meyer¹, Gabriel R. Fries¹, and Consuelo Walss-Bass¹ Substance use disorders (SUDs) contribute to early-onset age-related diseases and represent a major global health burden. Accelerated biological aging (AA) has been proposed as a key factor behind SUD-related morbidity and mortality. This study aimed to elucidate the molecular basis of AA in SUD by analyzing transcriptor for the study and mortality.

be applied beyond those molecular basis of AA in SUD by analyzing transcriptomic profiles in postmortem dorsolateral prefrontal cortex tissue from individuals with SUD, including alcohol (AUD), opioid (OUD), and stimulant use disorders (StUD). We examined brain tissue from 58 donors to assess differential aging patterns and AA across SUD using epigenetic clocks specifically designed for brain tissues (DNAmClock_{cortical}, CerebralCortexClock_{corting}) and PCBrainAge). Samples were then stratified into those with and without AA to perform differential expression analyses across groups and the strategy of the identify biological pathways potentially related to AA. Analyses identified multiple differentially expressed genes linked to AA, revealing unique and overlapping biological pathways within SUD subtypes. Further, our analysis highlighted shared aging mechanisms across SUD 🗟 subtypes, particularly mitochondrial signaling and metabolic processes. While insightful, these subtype-specific findings remain exploratory due to limited statistical power. Most biological pathways underlying AA in SUD appear to be subtype-specific, with distinct molecular 👳 signatures influenced by substance type. Given the cross-sectional design, causal interpretations are limited. Further research may 🎒 pořt ublic domain material targeted interventions for aging-related risks in SUD populations. acy ds the license es/by-nc-nd/4

Genomic Psychiatry (2025), 1-9; doi: https://doi.org/10.61373/gp025a.0029

Keywords: Epigenetic aging, postmortem brain, substance use disorders, transcriptome

Introduction

Substance use disorders (SUDs) lead to the early onset of age-related diseases and pose a critical global health challenge, ranking as the fifth cause of years lived with disability, the ninth cause of disability-adjusted life years, and the 15th cause of years of life lost due to premature mortality (1). SUDs are also associated with increased risks for chronic physical health conditions such as cardiovascular disease, cancer, chronic pain, and increased risk for long-term cognitive impairments (2, 3).

Biological aging is a process that describes the progressive deterioration of biological functions, in contrast to chronological aging, which represents the time since birth (4). Epigenetic clocks, such as Hannum, Horvath, PhenoAge, and GrimAge, which incorporate DNA methylation (DNAm) data from unique CpG sites across the genome into weighted linear equations to predict age and other health outcomes, are currently considered the most promising biomarkers of biological aging (5). Estimates of epigenetic accelerated aging (AA) are obtained by regressing the predicted epigenetic age against chronological age within a cohort, where positive values indicate faster-than-expected biological aging (6).

Emerging research has underscored the biological mechanisms underlying early-onset morbidity and premature mortality in SUD, with AA proposed as a potential driver of these adverse outcomes (7). This has been most consistently shown in alcohol use disorder (AUD), where patients exhibit biological ages that exceed their chronological ages and appear biologically older than controls in both brain and blood tissues when measured by the PhenoAge and Horvath clocks (8-12), and biological aging can be partly reversed with abstinence (10). Additionally, chronic heroin use has been associated with shorter DNAm-based telomere length (13). However, findings across SUDs such as stimulant use disorder (StUD) and opioid use disorder (OUD) are inconsistent. Assessments using first-generation epigenetic clocks such as Horvath and Hannum have shown no clear significant differences between these SUDs and tome -nd/4.0/. Taterials control groups, and even counterintuitive negative biologicate aging by been reported (9, 12).

en reported (9, 12). The absence of consistently higher AA in some SUDs doesnoe negate its relevance; rather, the findings from current studies imply that adversed aging outcomes in SUD may be driven by distinct biological processes and that the degree of AA may vary based on substance-specific effects and the type of epigenetic clock used for assessment. In regards to the latter although previous studies, including our own, have shown that engine tic clocks designed for use in peripheral blood may serve as goodestimators of brain aging (8), the extent of AA in SUD in the brain has not previously been comprehensively explored using epigenetic clocks specifically de $\frac{1}{5}$ signed for brain tissues. In this study, we aimed to identify substance specific transcriptomic profiles of AA in the dorsolateral prefrontal $\cos \frac{1}{2}$ tex (DLPFC, Brodmann area [BA] 9), a key region involved in comitive processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functions, decision and the processes relevant to SUD, such as executive functive functions, decision a behavioral and cognitive inhibition, working memory, and cavinq(14)Specifically, we hypothesized that distinct drug-specific biological path $\tilde{\omega}$ ways would influence AA in SUD, potentially explaining the variability in aging outcomes observed in these disorders.

In this study, we explored the relationship between SUD and epige anetic markers of AA, focusing on AUD, OUD, and StUD. By concentrating on specific SUDs, we aimed to clarify substance-specific aging patterns and minimize confounding effects that could arise from broader case control comparisons. Our objectives were: (i) to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) associated with AA in individuals with SUD and (ii) to explore overlaps in enriched biological pathways and mechanisms actors differ ent SUD subtypes (AUD, OUD, and StUD) related to AA. This holder ticle's C enses.

licer Participant demographic, clinical, and biological characteristics are sum in marized in Table 1 and Supplementary Table S2. The identification of the second marized in Table 1 and Supplementary Table S2. The identified A to es no Matter and Supplementary Table S2. The identified A to es no 4.0 mplementary Table S2. The identified A to es no 4.0 mplementary Table S2. The identified A to es no 4.0 mplementary Table S2. The identified A to est no 4.0 mplementary Table S2. The identified A to est no 4.0 mplementary Table S2. The identified A to est no 4.0 mplementary Table S2. The identified A to est no 4.0 mplementary Table S2. The identified A to est no 4.0 mplementary Table S2. The identified A to est no 4.0 mplementary Table S2. The identified A to est no 5.0 mplementary Table

cannot be

for

comme

ω

NoDerivatives:

Modified

rights,

license

cover all potential

¹Faillace Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, McGovern Medical School, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston (UTHealth), Houston, TX 77054 Corresponding Authors: Gabriel R. Fries, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, 1941 East Rd, Houston, TX 77054, USA. Phone: 713-486-2629. E-mail: Gabriel.R.Fries@uth.tmc.edu; and Consuelo Walss-Bass Phone: 713-486-2718. E-mail: Consuelo.walssbass@uth.tmc.edu Received: 26 February 2025. Revised: 8 April 2025 and 10 April 2025. Accepted: 11 April 2025. Published online: 29 April 2025.



ma



Table 1. Sample characteristics and group comparisons

	Overall	AA-	AA+	Statistics	<i>p</i> -value	Effectsize
Sample size, freq.	58	30	28			omi icei
Age, mean (SD)	45.84(14.49)	44.33(16.54)	47.46(12.01)	t[52.89] = -0.83	0.411	0,1130,5
Sex, freq. (%)	15(25.9)	6(20.0)	9(32.1)	\ddot{i} + \hat{A}^{2} [1] = 0.57	0.45	0 <u>0</u> :1379 ⊗ iei
Smoking index, mean (SD)	0.82(0.05)	0.83(0.06)	0.81(0.05)	t[56] = 1	0.32	01335
Race: White, freq. (%)	35(60.3)	16(53.3)	19(67.9)	$\ddot{ }$ + \hat{A}^{2} [1] = 0.74	0.389	0.148
Race: Black, freq. (%)	17(29.3)	9(30.0)	8(28.6)	$\ddot{I} = \dot{A}^2 [1] = 0$	0.999	0€016 ° d
Race: Hispanic, freq. (%)	6(10.3)	5(16.7)	1(3.6)	$\ddot{ }$ $\dot{ }$	0.228	0,2,15 a f
AUD, freq. (%)	13(22.4)	6(20.0)	7(25.0)	$\ddot{I} = 0.02$	0.888	
OUD, freq. (%)	16(27.6)	10(33.3)	6(21.4)	$\ddot{ }$ $\dot{ }$ $\dot{ }$ $\dot{ }$ $\dot{ }$ $\dot{ }$ $= 0.52$	0.472	0,1330 0
StUD, freq. (%)	10(17.2)	4(13.3)	6(21.4)	$\ddot{I}^{\ddagger}\hat{A}^{2}[1] = 0.22$	0.64	6,107 is 10
AUD and OUD, freq. (%)	6(10.3)	4(13.3)	2(7.1)	$\ddot{ }^{+}\hat{A}^{2}[1] = 0.12$	0.732	0 ≟10 222 ≣
AUD and StUD, freq. (%)	5(8.6)	3(10.0)	2(7.1)	$\ddot{I} = \dot{A}^2 [1] = 0$	0.999	0≦05215 ℃
OUD and StUD, freq. (%)	3(5.2)	1(3.3)	2(7.1)	$\ddot{ } = \dot{ } = 0$	0.951	03086 % <u> </u>
Polysubstance use disorder, freq. (%)	5(8.6)	2(6.7)	3(10.7)	$\ddot{ }$ $\dot{ }$ $\dot{ }$ $\dot{ }$ $\dot{ }$ $\dot{ }$ $= 0.01$	0.936	0,52 p 6
Cause of death: Cardiovascular/Chronic	22(37.9)	11(36.7)	11(39.3)	$\ddot{i} = \hat{A}^2[1] = 0$	0.999	0027 licer
Cause of death: Overdose freq. (%)	34(58.6)	19(63 3)	15(53.6)	$\ddot{i} = \hat{A}^2 [1] = 0.24$	0.626	
Cause of death: Other, freq. (%)	2(3.4)	-	2(7.1)	$\ddot{i} = 0.59$	0.441	
PCBrainAgeClockAcc. mean (SD)	0.05(3.95)	-1.87(3.79)	2.11(3.00)	t[54.59] = -4.44	0.999	
DNACorticalClockAcc. mean (SD)	-0.30(3.44)	-2.42(2.65)	1.97(2.64)	t[55.74] = -6.32	0.999	0%646
CerebralCortexClockCommonAcc. mean (SD)	-0.49(3.34)	-2.65(2.18)	1.84(2.76)	t[51.38] = -6.83	0.999	9.69 rig
PCHorvath1Acc. mean (SD)	0.00(3.79)	-0.92(4.03)	0.98(3.30)	t[55.12] = -1.97	0.054	05256 to all
PCHorvath2Acc, mean (SD)	0.00(2.47)	-0.62(2.60)	0.66(2.18)	t[55.37] = -2.03	0.047	0,263 ≤ T
PCHannumAcc, mean (SD)	0.00(1.47)	-0.17(1.53)	0.19(1.41)	t[56] = -0.94	0.353	04124 hid
PCPhenoAgeAcc, mean (SD)	0.00(1.73)	-0.18(1.83)	0.20(1.63)	t[55.89] = -0.84	0.405	0 ⁵ 1£2 → m
PCGrimAgeAcc, mean (SD)	0.00(1.12)	0.12(1.04)	-0.13(1.21)	t[53.57] = 0.84	0.403	
Batch, freq. (%)	20(34.5)	9(30.0)	11(39.3)	$[\dot{I} + \hat{A}^2 [1] = 0.22$	0.64	0.098
PMIhrs, freq. (%)	26.23(7.64)	27.66(8.20)	24.69(6.80)	t[55.25] = 1.51	0.138	06199 H
RIN Novogene, freq. (%)	7.18(0.96)	7.03(0.99)	7.35(0.91)	t[55.98] = -1.28	0.206	0g169 c 8
pH, freq. (%)	6.54(0.28)	6.55(0.29)	6.53(0.28)	t[55.94] = 0.21	0.831	0,029 2,0
dtangle: Astrocytes, freq. (%)	0.32(0.15)	0.34(0.15)	0.30(0.16)	t[55.04] = 1.02	0.314	02136 6
dtangle: Endothelia, freq. (%)	0.09(0.02)	0.08(0.02)	0.09(0.02)	t[53.01] = -0.9	0.372	0 <u>61</u> 223 a
dtangle: Microglia, freq. (%)	0.05(0.02)	0.05(0.01)	0.05(0.02)	t[46.69] = -0.42	0.676	0606255⊻
dtangle: Neurons, freq. (%)	0.40(0.13)	0.39(0.12)	0.42(0.13)	t[54.76] = -0.96	0.341	0,129 °
dtangle: Oligodendrocytes, freq. (%)	0.07(0.03)	0.06(0.03)	0.07(0.04)	t[48.75] = -1.06	0.292	0 <u>5</u> 151 n t
dtandle: OPCs freq (%)	0.07(0.02)	0.07(0.01)	0.07(0.02)	t[51.77] = 1.27	0.211	6 ² 1 7 3 ਰ ਹ

The Student t test was used to compare the ages of the different groups, with the effect size reported as an r statistic. For r, values of 0.1, 0.3, an $\overline{e}_{0,5}$ xceptions denote small, moderate, and large effects, respectively. The chi-square test was employed for categorical variables, with effect sizes reported using conter Cramér's V. For Cramér's V, values of 0.1, 0.3, and 0.5 indicate small, moderate, and large effects, respectively. ties

AA+ groups were comparable across variables such as chronological age. sex distribution, and racial composition. Supplementary Figure S2 shows the overlap of AA between SUD types.

Differential Expression and Pathway Enrichment Analyses

DEG analyses between the AA+ and AA- groups were carried out within all SUD (AA+, n = 28; AA-, n = 30) and then individually within each SUD subtype: AUD (AA+, n = 7; AA-, n = 6), OUD (AA+, n = 6; AA-, n = 10), and StUD (AA+, n = 6; AA-, n = 4). We identified 11 DEGs in the combined SUD analysis (Supplementary Table S3). At the same time, exploratory analyses in the SUD subgroups revealed 463 DEGs in primary AUD (Figure 1A; Supplementary Table S4), 58 in primary OUD (Figure 1B; Supplementary Table S5), and 51 in StUD (Figure 1C; Supplementary Table S6). Notably, only a few DEGs were shared across all SUD subgroups (Figure 1D). Gene Ontology (GO) biological process (BP) pathway analyses revealed significant enrichment in 85 pathways in AUD (Supplementary Table S7), 9 in OUD (Supplementary Table S8), and 17 in StUD (Supplementary Table S9). The top 10 pathways from the GO BP enrichment analyses conducted for all SUD subgroups in DEG are shown in Table 2. Though insightful, these subtype-specific findings remain exploratory due to limited statistical power.

SUD Subgroups Overlaps DEG Overlaps Between AUD and OUD. Among SUD subgroups reference overlap in the DEGs between AA+ when compared with AA-Bwas found for the AUD and the OUD groups (Table 3). Remarkably, most of the genes were downregulated in AA+ when compared with AA- within QUB bet th-Q regulated within AUD (*TRIOBP, TNS2, NIBAN2,* and *SOX17*), while the other ot PGM2L1, and ROBO2). This holde ticle's

DEG Overlaps Between AUD and StUD. Five DEGs were found to giver-s lap among the AUD and StUD groups: EDN1, HBA2, HBA1, AQP1, and HBB (Table 3). Within the AUD group, all these genes were upregulated in AA+ when compared with AA-. However, within the StUD growp, only AQP1 was upregulated, while EDN1, HBA2, HBA1, and HBB were down reg ulated. These contrasting patterns suggest different biological responses in these groups concerning aging in AUD and StUD.

DEG Overlaps Between OUD and StUD. Two DEGs were identified as overlapping among the OUD and StUD groups (Table 3): TTYH2 and TMEM63A.



cannot be used for commercial

purposes.

(3) NoDerivatives:

license. Public domain materials or those covered by statutor

Modified versions of the work cannot

be

be applied beyond those stipulated in the



accelerated aging positive (AA+) and accelerated aging negative (AA-) in (A) AUD(AA+, n = 7; AA-, n = 6); (B) OUD (AA+, n = 6; AA-, n = 10); and (CEStODAA+ n = 6; AA –, n = 4). The Venn Diagram (D) shows the overlaps between the differentially expressed genes identified. As we can see, five genes were 🛱 fferentially 🕮 expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both AUD and StUD; seven genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA+ an and two genes were differentially expressed when comparing AA+ and AA- in both OUD and StUD. are be Ξ.

Both genes were downregulated in AA+ when compared with AA- in OUD, while in the StUD group, they were upregulated in AA+.

Molecular Mechanisms Linking Differential Gene Expression Across SUDs Figure 2 illustrates a hypothetical molecular framework integrating DEGs from enriched pathways identified in AUD, StUD, and OUD (Table 2), highlighting key biological pathways involved in neuroinflammation, mitochondrial dysfunction, and oxidative stress as potential mechanisms underlying AA in SUD. The diagram highlights interactions among transcription factors, inflammatory mediators, and mitochondrial regulators, suggesting distinct but converging pathways contributing to cellular stress, mitochondria function, and neuroinflammation across SUD subtypes.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study investigating brain AA in SUDs using epigenetic clocks specifically designed for brain tissues $(DNAmClock_{Cortical},\ CerebralCortexClock_{common},\ and\ PCBrainAge).\ No$ tably, we found that despite the high correlation between the clocks, the contribution of the variables observed in our principal component analyses (PCA), along with the innovative dichotomous classification of our sample, emphasized that the three brain-specific epigenetic clocks have distinct characteristics and do not necessarily converged week to be addition sifying individuals based on their AA. Hence, our findings align with the idea that each clock might capture unique aspects of aging. As we noted in the Method section, while this PC1-based dichotomization facifitates downstream comparisons, it represents a simplification of what is likely ap continuous biological process. This classification should, therefore, beinterpreted as a pragmatic, exploratory strategy to investigate broad molecular differences associated with higher versus lower levels of epi genetic aging in the brain.

Overall, the differential gene expression and pathway analysis find ings suggest that AA in SUD is not a uniform process but that distinct bia ological mechanisms contribute to aging, depending on the type of substance involved. The most robust differences between AA+ and AA-were observed in the AUD group, which aligns with previous researed show ing an effect of AUD on AA (9, 12). Enrichment analyses suggesethat A_{AS} is related to protein phosphorylation, signal transduction, and the post itive regulation of protein localization to the plasma membrane. Protein phosphorylation and signal transduction are essential processes often altered in both normal aging and disease progression (15, 16). Furthermore, the finding of enrichment of glutamatergic synapse pathways aligns with studies suggesting a critical role of glutamate in both aging and



Term	Genes	Fold enrichment
Alcohol use disorder		omr
Positive regulation of integrin-mediated signaling pathway	LAMB2, EMP2, LIMS2	9.6990 c
Cellular response to zinc ion	MT2A, MT1M, MT1X, MT3, MT1E	9.4299*ਲੋ ≧
Intracellular zinc ion homeostasis	MT2A, SLC30A9, MT1M, MT1X, MT3, SLC39A14, MT1E	9.052*8 0
Negative regulation of endocytosis	LGALS3, RUBCN, SYT11	9.052 g
Positive regulation of G protein-coupled receptor signaling pathway	GPER1, TMOD2, SLC39A14	9.0 5 ,2 [®]
Removal of superoxide radicals	NOS3, MT3, SOD3	8.487° h
Regulation of store-operated calcium entry	CRACR2B, HOMER1, SLC8B1	8.487% a
Positive regulation of leukocyte migration	MADCAM1, ZP3, VEGFA	8.487° tiế
Negative regulation of viral genome replication	IFITM3, SRPK2, IFITM2, RSAD2, MX1, EIF2AK2, IFIT1	6.888*छ जि
Platelet-derived growth factor receptor signaling pathway	NR4A3, TXNIP, PTPRJ, CSPG4, PLAT	6.8588**ise
Central naryous system development		
Outor oar morphogonosis		9.997 at o 70 24*# P
Motapaphras development		
Positive regulation of execution phase of apoptosis	TP53RP2 HTP2A	40.960 - 5
Positive regulation of gene expression		4 154* ♀
l oft/right axis specification		39858 9
Positive regulation of DNA-templated transcription	NIBANZ SOX17 CITED2 HDAC1 7IC3 TRIM21 NPAS3	3 46*9
Outflow tract morphogenesis	SOX17 EVA1 (ITED2	19 9217
Heart looning	SOX17, CITED2 ZIC3	14 89%
Stimulant use disorder	50/17/01/2027/2005	hts, inc-r
Central nervous system development	UGTB, RELN, MOG	8.93338
Oxygen transport	HBB, HBA2, HBA1	78.726 👸 🛱
Positive regulation of fibroblast migration	THBS1, AQP1	64.5968
Semaphorin-plexin signaling pathway involved in axon guidance	EDN1, PLXNB3	55.983 🖉 🖉
Cell adhesion	CLDN11, MAG, RELN, MOG, PCDHGB2, CCN1, THBS1	5.6 8 5 g
Transport	ALB, AFP	46.652 t
Response to hydrogen peroxide	HBB, HBA2, HPR, HBA1	45.392 🛱 🗍
Response to muscle stretch	EDN1, NPPA	44. 1 97₹ a
Hydrogen peroxide catabolic process	HBB, HBA2, HBA1	43.4 3 57
Nitric oxide transport	EDN1, HBB, HBA2, HBA1, AQP1	419.822

neurodegenerative processes and highlights the role of glutamatergic signaling in maintaining synaptic plasticity and cognitive function (17). Regarding OUD, we identified transcriptional regulation, neurodevelopment, and immune-inflammatory processes as key drivers of AA. We also

Table 3. Overview of comparisons between accelerated aging groups (AA+ vs. AA-) and overlaps between groups

	DEG (p < .01, FC <> .5)	GO:BP (p < .05)
SUD	11	6
AUD	463	85
OUD	58	9
StUD	51	17
$AUD \cap OUD$	7	2
$AUD \cap StUD$	5	6
OUD ∩ StUD	2	1
$AUD\capOUD\capStUD$	0	0

This table provides an overview of all comparisons between individuals with accelerated biological aging (AA+) and those without (AA–), including overlaps between SUD groups. Differential gene expression (DEG) analysis was performed for each group. The top rows summarize the number of DEGs and enriched pathways identified in the AA+ versus AA– analyses for each SUD group. The bottom rows present overlaps between SUD subgroups (AUD and OUD; AUD and StUD; OUD and StUD; AUD and OUD and StUD), including DEGs and enriched pathways shared across comparisons.

found that positive regulation of DNA-templated transcription, which in the cludes the genes *NIBAN2*, *SOX17*, and *HDAC1*, contributes to transcriptional dysregulation in aging and highlights the relevance of histone methylation in this process (18). Concerning StUD, our finding so the store of oxidative stress, hypoxia responses, and cell addression pathways. As supported by (18), oxidative stress has an important impact on aging, particularly in the development of chronic diseases in the cell addression of the store of the store

Our integrative mechanistic analysis identified neuroinflaring ation $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ oxidative stress, and mitochondrial dysfunction to be implicated in AAS across all SUD subtypes. Mitochondria function is central to maintaining cellular energy homeostasis and regulating oxidative stress respenses (15). DEGs such as NOS3, TXNIP, HTR2A, CSF1, HDAC1, EDNI THBS1. and RELN are directly implicated in mitochondrial dysfunction and Ross production and can activate the assembly of NLRP3 through different mechanisms (19–22). The cerebral expression of NOS3 has $\overline{B}e\overline{e}n$ $\overline{B}s\overline{s}o$ - \overline{S} ciated with molecular abnormalities related to neurodegeneration, molecular abnorma expression significantly increases mitochondrial complex II ativity and promotes the expression of SDHA, a subunit of complex \underline{H} , \underline{W} hich \underline{F} is a significant site for reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation ($\frac{20}{3}$) ROS production by CSF-1 is crucial for macrophage functions such as pathogen killing, cell signaling, and inflammatory responses (21). THBS1 activates latent transforming growth factor-beta 1 (TGF- β 1), a crucial cytokine involved in inflammation, wound healing, and immune responses, and THBS1 stimulates the production of ROS through its interaction with CD47 (23, 24). HDAC1 can both promote and suppress

annot be used for commercial purposes

(3) NoDerivatives:

Modified versions of the work cannot



Figure 2. Inflammation and mitochondrial function as mechanisms in AA across SUDs. This figure presents a proposed model linking genes associated with neuroinflammatory and oxidative stress-related pathways across three major SUDs when comparing accelerated aging positive (AA+) and accelerated aging positive (AA+) and accelerated pathways across three major SUDs when comparing accelerated aging positive (AA+) and accelerated aging positive (AA+). Genes that are shown in yellow were observed in aging-related pathways within AUD, while the ones in green were observed in aging-related pathways within SUD, and the ones in blue within OUD. The nuclear factor-kappa B (NF- κ B) pathway is activated by genes such as *NR4A3*, *TRIM2D*, *IETM2*, *IETM3*, and *IL-32*, which are involved in inflammatory signaling and immune regulation and might contribute to the production of proinflammatory of tekinese (e.g., IFN- α , IL-6) that may exacerbate neuronal damage. Furthermore, the *TXNIP* and *HDAC1* contribute to inflammasome activation, feading the increased Caspase-1 activity and the subsequent maturation of IL-1 β and IL-18, promoting neuroinflammatory responses. Future studies might investigate the role of NLRP3 as a central component in stimulant-induced neuroinflammation in this mechanism. Finally, the upregulation of NOS3, TXNIP, CSF1, HTE2A, HDAC1 Contribute to mitochondrial dysfunction, cellular stress, and neurodegeneration, might contribute to mitochondrial dysfunction and dividative stress (ROS).

inflammatory signaling depending on environmental stimuli, which may also influence ROS production (25). *SOX17*, in particular, has been implicated in mitochondrial homeostasis and metabolic regulation, as it influences ATP production, oxidative stress balance, and mitochondrial biogenesis, which are essential for cellular energy metabolism and differentiation (26, 27). Its role in regulating transcription factors such as *HNF1B* and *FOXA2* also highlights its broader impact on mitochondrial function and metabolic adaptation (26).

It is worth mentioning that opposite patterns of regulation were observed in overlapping DEGs for SUD subtypes. For instance, the differential expression of SOX17 in AUD and OUD may reflect distinct substancespecific effects on cellular stress responses and mitochondrial function in AA+ individuals. In AUD, SOX17 appears to be upregulated, potentially indicating a compensatory mitochondrial response to alcohol-induced oxidative stress, excitotoxicity, and inflammation (28, 29). In contrast, SOX17 is downregulated in OUD, which may reflect a blunted or exhausted mitochondrial stress response. As mentioned before, opioids have been shown to impair mitochondrial respiration, increase ROS production, and dysregulate energy metabolism—factors that could lead to suppressed transcriptional regulators like SOX17. Another example is NIBAN2, which is upregulated when cells are under stress. We found that NIBAN2 is upregulated in AUD and downregulated in OUD. In AUD, alcohol-induced oxidative stress may drive the upregulation of NIBAN2 as a compensatory response to mitigate damage. In contrast, OUD's impact on mitochondrial dysfunction and ROS production may suppress transcriptional responses, leading to the downregulation of NIBAN2.

Several limitations of the present study should be acknowledged. The relatively small sample size limits the generalizability of the findings, particularly when subdividing the SUD group into specific subtypes it is important to emphasize that the SUD subgroups were strictly basedon the primary diagnosis determined by the consensus diagnosis prog cess. We excluded participants meeting criteria for any additional SUD diagnoses, as determined from the psychological autopsy. Future stude ies with larger sample sizes are required to confirm our findings and to further elucidate the mechanisms of AA in different SUDS The \vec{eross} sectional design of this study inherently limits our ability 🗟 🖽 🏛 causality or the temporal progression of AA in individuals with SUD. Wille we cannot determine whether these epigenetic and transcriptomic signation \vec{k} natures reflect causal mechanisms, compensatory adaptations or cono sequences of long-term substance use. Longitudinal studies that the track individuals over time—ideally from active substance use through abstinence or relapse—are essential to disentangle the directionabity of these associations and to better understand how biological aging evolves in the context of substance use and related risk factors. Additionatly ැබ්හැලිල් our models accounted for several biological and technical coordinates, $\sin \frac{1}{2}$ cluding RNA integrity, tissue pH, smoking index, batch, and \vec{b} estimated cell-type proportions, we acknowledge the likelihood of residual confounding. This limitation is inherent to postmortem studies, where comprehensive individual-level data are often difficult to obtain. Although we conducted detailed psychological autopsy interviews with the donors' next-of-kin and used a rigorous diagnostic consensus process to

GENOMIC PSYCHIATRY Genomic Press



determine primary SUD diagnoses, information regarding psychiatric comorbidities, psychotropic medication use, or other medical conditions may be incomplete or inaccurate. These unmeasured factors could influence gene expression and epigenetic profiles and may partially confound our findings. Future studies leveraging larger cohorts and incorporating more detailed clinical records are needed to disentangle these complex relationships. Finally, significant DEGs were identified based on a nominal *p*-value threshold of 0.01 and a fold-change cutoff of 0.5. Notably, no genes survived FDR correction, and thus, all results should be interpreted as exploratory.

In conclusion, this study provides valuable insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying AA in SUD. By identifying genes and enriching biological pathways across various SUDs, we underscore the complexity of substance-induced accelerated epigenetic aging in the brain. Some shared mechanisms of AA between SUD subtypes were noted. Particularly, genes involved in metabolic regulation and mitochondrial function were identified across all disorders. Vascular and oxygen transport system alterations were common in AUD and StUD; cellular signaling, neurodevelopment, and metabolic processes in AUD and OUD; and immune system dysregulation and inflammatory processes in OUD and StUD. Future research should focus on further elucidating these unique aging processes, which may stem from substance-specific molecular signatures or from a combination of factors, such as environmental stressors, comorbidities, and lifestyle influences, that interact with substances use to accelerate biological aging. Understanding these interactions will be critical in developing targeted interventions to mitigate the health risks associated with premature aging in SUD populations.

Materials and Methods

Sample Characteristics and Brain Tissue Samples

Postmortem brain BA9 samples of 62 participants with SUD were obtained from The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston (UTHealth) Brain Collection, in collaboration with the Harris County Institute of Forensic Science (HCIFS), under the approval of the Institutional Review Board, as described previously (8). For all subjects, informed consent was secured from the next-of-kin and demographic information, autopsy and toxicology reports, and medical and psychiatric notes were obtained if available (8). A structured psychological autopsy interview (30) was conducted with the donor's next-of-kin to obtain detailed information of mental health history, age of onset of drug use, types of substances used, drinking and smoking history, and any co-morbidities. An independent panel of three trained and licensed clinicians reviewed all available information to reach a consensus diagnosis for each subject, classifying them as having a SUD, from which subjects were then categorized into a specific SUD subgroup based on their primary diagnosis.

Four participants (n = 4) were excluded following FastQC quality control, and four additional participants (n = 4) were removed after being identified as consistent outliers based on Euclidean and Mahalanobis distances in PCA conducted on both cell type proportions and RNA counts. Hence, epigenetic age estimates and clustering analysis (section 2.2, below) were performed for 58 participants with SUD. The Shapiro–Wilk and Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests were used to assess the distribution of variables. Differences in categorical variables were examined using Chisquare tests, while continuous variables were evaluated with Student t tests for parametric distributions. Effect sizes were calculated using Cramér's V for Chi-square tests and the r statistic for Student t tests.

Epigenetic Clock Estimates and Clustering Analysis

Total DNA extraction and DNAm assays were performed, as described previously (8). Subsequently, DNAm data were processed using the *minfi* and *IlluminaHumanMethylationEPICanno.ilm10b4.hg19* packages (31). IDAT files were imported, and quality control steps included filtering samples with detection *p* values above 0.05 and removing probes with low bead counts. Functional normalization, combined with Noob normalization, was applied to correct for technical noise. Probes failing a detection *p*-value threshold of 0.01, those associated with SNPs, and those located on sex chromosomes were excluded. Beta values were obtained using the getBeta function, and M-values were obtained using the getM function from *minfi*. original

2

link to

the

license

and

notification

0

any

changes

The

acknowledgment should

not

Imply licensor

endorsement

NonCommercial

The

<u>B</u>

Epigenetic aging was assessed using three clocks specifically designed for brain tissues: DNAmClock_{Cortical}, CerebralCortexClock_{common},

and PCBrainAge. DNAmClock_{Cortical} was developed to improve the accuracy of age prediction, specifically in human cortex tissue trained on chronological age using 347 CpG sites relevant to the cerebral cortex (32). The CerebralCortexClock_{common} clock was designed to estimate DNAm age specifically for the cerebral cortex, trained using 2015 ageassociated CpG sites common across different non-cerebellar brain (55sues (33). Finally, PCBrainAge was trained using a method of principal component projection on datasets that emphasize brain-specific finance patterns associated with Alzheimer's disease (34). DNAmClock and a get age, while the PCBrainAge was computed using the *dnaMethyAge* age, package.

In addition to the brain-specific clocks, we used the PC-Clock ackage to calculate PCHorvath1, PCHorvath2, PCHannum, PCPhenoAge and PC-GrimAge (35, 36). PCHorvath1 and PCHorvath2 are based on Horvath2's original and revised multitissue clocks, respectively, while PCHammins's derived from the Hannum clock, initially trained on blood samples. PPTe (3) noAge and PCGrimAge are constructed from the PhenoAge and GrimAge clocks, often referred to as "second-generation" clocks, which predict phenotypic aging and mortality risk, respectively.

AA estimates were derived by calculating DNAm-predicted age and regressing this against chronological age, where positive resulting and some cate faster-than-expected aging (i.e., AA), and negative resulting and some cate faster than expected aging (i.e., AA), and negative resulting and some cate faster than expected aging (i.e., based on the solution of the solut cate slower-than-expected aging (12). To classify subjects into distinct clusters of aging trajectories based on the AA profiles from the shree brain-specific clocks, PCA was applied to the standardized AA data to the standardized back at a standardized back duce dimensionality and capture the common aging signal across clocks fAs PC1 explained 58% of the variance, participants were grouped based on PC1 scores, with positive scores indicating accelerated aging (AA =)and negative scores indicating non-accelerated aging (AA-) (Supplementary Figure S1). Although epigenetic aging is inherently a continuous process, this binary classification was adopted as a pragmatic strategy to enhance interpretability and statistical power in downstream transcriptomic analyses, particularly given the modest sample size. grouping allowed us to aggregate the shared signal across partially nonconverging clocks, minimizing the noise associated with individed to the clocks variability.

Figure 3 shows the overlap of subjects identified as AA + or AA is based is on each clock, suggesting that although each clock captures distinct as the pects of the aging process, there is considerable convergence in identified fying individuals with AA in SUD. Correlations within all epigenetic variables, brain epigenetic variables, and chronological age were tested for the ables, brain epigenetic variables, and chronological age were tested for a low the entire sample using Pearson tests with the *Hmisc* R package is a low of the aging process.

Next-generation RNA Sequencing and Differential Expression and by a sequencing (RNA-seq) was carried out in BA9 bulk tissue from the base pairs and adapter sequences using *trim_galore*, as described previously (36). Sequencing reads were mapped to the human genome build UCSC hg38 using STAR (37), and gene expression was quantion fied using featureCounts (38). Data was filtered and harmonized with reference gene signatures using curated gene expression profiles from the sigsBrain.rda file (https://rdrr.io/github/unawaz1996/brangk/man/o sigsBrain.html), based on publicly available brain single-cell BNA-seque data (39). The run.DTA function from the *dtangle* package (40) was used to estimate the relative proportions of each cell type, and composite from ronal proportions were calculated by combining excitatory and iminiation yr neuron estimates.

Differential expression (DE) analysis was conducted using the R Bbog conductor packages edgeR (41) and limma (42). Sample read counts were filtered to retain only expressed genes, and normalization was performed using the Trimmed Mean of M-values (TMM) method (calcNormFactors function in the edgeR package). The model matrix was fitted using *lm*-*Fit*, and empirical Bayes statistics (eBayes) were applied to identify DEGs. DE between AA+ and AA- was assessed for all the SUD samples (n = 58) and within each SUD subgroup based on their diagnosis (AUD = 13,

Research Article Kluwe-Schiavon et al.



Figure 3. Pearson correlations and Venn diagram of overlaps between aging acceleration based on epigenetic clocks designed for brain tissue. (A-C) Scatter plats showing Pearson correlations between epigenetic aging acceleration measures derived from different brain-specific clocks. Each dot represents 🛱 🐺 i 🖓 partic categorized based on their PC cluster classification: accelerated aging positive (AA+, filled dots) or accelerated aging negative (AA-, open circles) 🗷 DB Ven 🗠 Diagram showing overlap of SUD subtypes: AUD (yellow), OUD (blue), and StUD (green). Correlation coefficients (r) are annotated for each pair of massing with he regression lines indicate 95% confidence intervals. bobtaine dddition gov) (45), enabling comparison of overlaps between enriched partiwaysa significance levels indicated (*p < .05; ***p < .001). The shaded regions around the regression lines indicate 95% confidence intervals.

OUD = 16, StUD = 10). To minimize confounding effects, SUD subgroups were restricted to participants with a single primary SUD diagnosis, excluding those meeting the criteria for any additional SUD. The models were: ~ Accelerated Aging [AA+ vs. AA-] + Age [years] + Sex [male vs. female] + Batch [A vs. B] + postmortem interval [PMI in hours] + RNA intearity number [RIN] + tissue pH + smoking index [CpG methylation levels at cq05575921 (43, 44)] + Astrocytes [proportion]. The proportion of astrocytes was included as a covariate because it accounted for a substantial portion of the variance (16%) in the variance partition analysis (Supplementary Table S1). Significant DEGs were identified based on a nominal *p*-value threshold of 0.01 and a fold change cutoff of 0.5. Results were visualized using EnhancedVolcano, highlighting significant DEGs across conditions. Finally, sensitivity analyses were performed, including individuals with an additional SUD (or secondary diagnosis).

Pathway Analyses

The DEGs were extracted and subjected to enrichment for GO: BP terms. Enrichment analysis was then conducted using the Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID, https://david.ncifcrf. at the Harris County Institute of Forensic Sciences.

Data Availability
All data, including DNA methylation data, is available upon reguests

All data, including DNA methylation data, is available upon restricts. For each of the stricts o

manuscript. L.S. oversaw processing of all biological samples DNA

methylation, and RNA-seq assays. T.B. contributed to data interpreta-

tion and manuscript writing. T.D.M. oversaw all psychological autopsychological

assessments. The manuscript has been read and approved by all authors. All authors take full responsibility for all data, figures, and text and

approve the content and submission of the study. No related work is

under consideration elsewhere. All authors state that all unprocessed

data are available, and all figures provide accurate presentations of



the original data. Corresponding authors: G.R.F. for conceptualization of epigenetic clock analyses and C.W.B. for overall project conceptualization, postmortem brain collection, and project administration.

Funding Source

Support from RO1DA044859 and the John S. Dunn Foundation to C.W.B.

Author Disclosures

The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication. All authors have declared no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. Global Status Report on Alcohol and Health. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2018, 469. Available from: https://play. google.com/store/books/details?id=qnOyDwAAQBAJ
- Liu M, Savaskan N, Wang Z, Yang X, Sun Y. Epidemiological Characteristics and Related Risk Factors of the Older Population Aged Over 75 Years. Lausanne, Switzerland: Frontiers Media SA; 2023, 122. Available from: https://books. google.com/books/about/Epidemiological_characteristics_and_rela.html?hl= &id=7yyuEAAAQBAJ
- Rusanen M, Kivipelto M, Quesenberry CP Jr, Zhou J, Whitmer RA. Heavy smoking in midlife and long-term risk of Alzheimer's disease and vascular dementia. Arch Intern Med. 2011;171(4):333–9. DOI: 10.1001/archinternmed.2010.393. PMID: 20975015
- Horvath S. DNA methylation age of human tissues and cell types. Genome Biol. 2013;14(10):R115. DOI: 10.1186/gb-2013-14-10-r115. PMID: 24138928; PMCID: PMC4015143
- Oblak L, van der Zaag J, Higgins-Chen AT, Levine ME, Boks MP. A systematic review of biological, social, and environmental factors associated with epigenetic clock acceleration. Ageing Res Rev. 2021;69:101348. DOI: 10.1016/j.arr.2021. 101348. PMID: 33930583
- Bernabeu E, McCartney DL, Gadd DA, Hillary RF, Lu AT, Murphy L, et al. Refining epigenetic prediction of chronological and biological age. Genome Med. 2023;15(1):12. DOI: 10.1186/s13073-023-01161-y. PMID: 36855161; PMCID: PMC9976489
- Bachi K, Sierra S, Volkow ND, Goldstein RZ, Alia-Klein N. Is biological aging accelerated in drug addiction? Curr Opin Behav Sci. 2017;13:34–39. DOI: 10.1016/ j.cobeha.2016.09.007. PMID: 27774503; PMCID: PMC5068223
- Cabrera-Mendoza B, Stertz L, Najera K, Selvaraj S, Teixeira AL, Meyer TD, et al. Within subject cross-tissue analyses of epigenetic clocks in substance use disorder postmortem brain and blood. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2023;192(1-2):13–27. DOI: 10.1002/ajmg.b.32920. PMID: 36056652; PMCID: PMC9742183
- Luo A, Jung J, Longley M, Rosoff DB, Charlet K, Muench C, et al. Epigenetic aging is accelerated in alcohol use disorder and regulated by genetic variation in APOL2. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2020;45(2):327–36. DOI: 10.1038/s41386-019-0500-y. PMID: 31466081; PMCID: PMC6901591
- Zindler T, Frieling H, Fliedner L, Veer IM, Neyazi A, Awasthi S, et al. How alcohol makes the epigenetic clock tick faster and the clock reversing the effect of abstinence. Addict Biol. 2022;27(5):e13198. DOI: 10.1111/adb.13198. PMID: 36001430
- Rosen AD, Robertson KD, Hlady RA, Muench C, Lee J, Philibert R, et al. DNA methylation age is accelerated in alcohol dependence. Transl Psychiatry. 2018;8(1):182. DOI: 10.1038/s41398-018-0233-4. PMID: 30185790; PMCID: PMC6125381
- Zillich L, Cetin M, Hummel EM, Poisel E, Fries GR, Frank J, et al. Biological aging markers in blood and brain tissue indicate age acceleration in alcohol use disorder. Alcohol Clin Exp Res.2024;48(2):250–9. DOI: 10.1111/acer.15241. PMID: 38276909; PMCID: PMC10922212
- Martinez S, Jones JD. A pilot study examining the relationship between chronic heroin use and telomere length among individuals of African ancestry. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 2023;231:173631. DOI: 10.1016/j.pbb.2023.173631. PMID: 37689117; PMCID: PMC10545475
- Zhai T, Salmeron BJ, Gu H, Adinoff B, Stein EA, Yang Y. Functional connectivity of dorsolateral prefrontal cortex predicts cocaine relapse: implications for neuromodulation treatment. Brain Commun. 2021;3(2):fcab120. DOI: 10.1093/ braincomms/fcab120. PMID: 34189458; PMCID: PMC8226190
- Ia Torre A, Lo Vecchio F, Greco A. Epigenetic mechanisms of aging and agingassociated diseases. Cells. 2023;12(8):1163. DOI: 10.3390/cells12081163. PMID: 37190071; PMCID: PMC10136616
- Carlson ME, Silva HS, Conboy IM. Aging of signal transduction pathways, and pathology. Exp Cell Res. 2008;314(9):1951–61. DOI: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2008.03. 017. PMID: 18474281; PMCID: PMC2572856

- Cox MF, Hascup ER, Bartke A, Hascup KN. Friend or Foe? Defining the role of glutamate in aging and Alzheimer's disease. Front Aging. 2022;3:929474. DOI: 10.3389/fragi.2022.929474. PMID: 35821835; PMCID: PMC9261322
- 18. Saul D, Kosinsky RL. Epigenetics of aging and aging-associated diseases. Ity Ja Mol Sci. 2021;22(1):401. DOI: 10.3390/ijms22010401. PMID: 3340 555; PMC D PMC7794926
- de la Monte SM, Jhaveri A, Maron BA, Wands JR. Nitric oxide Synthese 3mediated neurodegeneration after intracerebral gene delivery. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 2007;66(4):272–83. DOI: 10.1097/nen.0b013e318040cfa2.mMD/ 17413318
- 20. Li J, Yue Z, Xiong W, Sun P, You K, Wang J. TXNIP overexpression suppresses proof liferation and induces apoptosis in SMMC7221 cells through ROS generation and MAPK pathway activation. Oncol Rep. 2017;37(6):3369–76. Dis 0.388/2/ or.2017.5577. PMID: 28440491
- 21. Bhatt NY, Kelley TW, Khramtsov VV, Wang Y, Lam GK, Clanton T, Jetalo Macrophage-colony-stimulating factor-induced activation of extracellular regulated kinase involves phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and reactive oxygen species in human monocytes. J Immunol. 2002;169(11):6427-34. DOI:10.04037 jimmunol.169.11.6427. PMID: 12444151
- Dong F, Zhang X, Wold LE, Ren Q, Zhang Z, Ren J. Endothelin-1 enhances ox-³⁰ idative stress, cell proliferation and reduces apoptosis in human unbilicative endothelial cells: role of ETB receptor, NADPH oxidase and caveoling 1. Br Phar-Z macol. 2005;145(3):323–33. DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjp.0706193. PMID: 157651000 PMCID: PMC1576147
- 23. Liu Z, Morgan S, Ren J, Wang Q, Annis DS, Mosher DF, et al. Thrombos partine in the development of vascular inflammation by regulating monocytic cell motility in mouse models of abdominal aorte aneurym circ Res. 2015;117(2):129–41. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.305262.9 Million 25940549; PMCID: PMC4490953
- 24. LeBlanc AJ, Kelm NQ. Thrombospondin-1, free radicals, and the coden y microcirculation: the aging conundrum. Antioxid Redox Signal. 2017;27(主)!?85-8010 DOI: 10.1089/ars.2017.7292. PMID: 28762749; PMCID: PMC5647494 있다.
- 25. Dunaway LS, Pollock JS. HDAC1: an environmental sensor regulating emidothetrak function. Cardiovasc Res. 2022;118(8):1885–903. DOI: 10.1093/vr/ද van 1985 PMID: 34264338; PMCID: PMC9239577
- 26. Jonatan D, Spence JR, Method AM, Kofron M, Sinagoga K, Haakaja L, Etikal Sox17 regulates insulin secretion in the normal and pathologic mouse β cell. PLoS One. 2014;9(8):e104675. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0104675. DOI: 25144761; PMCID: PMC4140688
- 27. Lv J, Vi Y, Qi Y, Yan C, Jin W, Meng L, et al. Mitochondrial homeostasis 관매 관람 definitive endoderm differentiation of human pluripotent stem cetts. @lipeathp Discov. 2022;8(1):69. DOI: 10.1038/s41420-022-00867-z. PMID: 351775899 PMCID: PMC8854419
- Narendra S, Klengel C, Hamzeh B, Patel D, Otten J, Lardenoije R, et al. Señongewide transcriptomics of the amygdala reveals similar oligodendrocyte-related responses to acute and chronic alcohol drinking in female mice. Teans, Psychiatry. 2022;12(1):476. DOI: 10.1038/s41398-022-02231-2. PMID: 363713937 PMCID: PMC9653459
- 29. Lin SM, Frank DB. SOX17 at the intersection of sex, transcripting and the intersection of sex, transcriptin
- Meyer TD, Godfrey CJ, Walss-Bass C. The UT health psychological attoms wing senter in set of s
- Aryee MJ, Jaffe AE, Corrada-Bravo H, Ladd-Acosta C, Feinberg AP Hansen RD, et al. Minfi: a flexible and comprehensive bioconductor package for the analysis of Infinium DNA methylation microarrays. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(10):1363-90 DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu049. PMID: 24478339; PMCID: PMC4916708
- Shireby GL, Davies JP, Francis PT, Burrage J, Walker EM, Neilson GW egi. Recalibrating the epigenetic clock: implications for assessing biological action for man cortex. Brain. 2020;143(12):3763–75. DOI: 10.1093/brain/awa3344 MiDa 33300551; PMCID: PMC7805794
- 33. Wang Y, Grant OA, Zhai X, Mcdonald-Maier KD, Schalkwyk LC. 原或中导 i般og ageing rates comparison across tissues from recalibrating cerebetum DNAG methylation clock. Geroscience. 2024;46(1):39–56. DOI: 10.1007/纪185天08-00 00871-w. PMID: 37597113; PMCID: PMC10828477
- Thrush K, Markov Y, Higgins-Chen A, Morgan L. PCBrainAge: a baik-specific AD-associated DNA methylation clock. Alzheimers Dement. 2022;18:e069230.
 Available from: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/alz.069230
- Du P, Zhang X, Huang CC, Jafari N, Kibbe WA, Hou L, et al. Comparison of betavalue and M-value methods for quantifying methylation levels by microarray analysis. BMC Bioinformatics. 2010;11:587. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2105-11-587. PMID: 21118553; PMCID: PMC3012676

- 36. Mendez EF, Wei H, Hu R, Stertz L, Fries GR, Wu X, et al. Angiogenic gene networks are dysregulated in opioid use disorder: evidence from multi-omics and imaging of postmortem human brain. Mol Psychiatry. 2021;26(12):7803-12. DOI: 10.1038/s41380-021-01259-y. PMID: 34385598; PMCID: PMC8837724
- 37. Dobin A, Gingeras TR. Optimizing RNA-seg mapping with STAR. Methods Mol Biol. 2016;1415:245-62. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4939-3572-7_13. PMID: 27115637
- 38. Fdez-Riverola F. Rocha M. Mohamad MS. Caraiman S. Gil-González AB. Practical Applications of Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, 16th International Conference (PACBB 2022). L'Aquila, Italy: Springer Nature; 2022, 122. Available from: https://play.google.com/store/books/details?id=riuWEAAAQBAJ
- 39. Sutton GJ, Poppe D, Simmons RK, Walsh K, Nawaz U, Lister R, et al. Comprehensive evaluation of deconvolution methods for human brain gene expression. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):1358. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-022-28655-4. PMID: 35292647; PMCID: PMC8924248
- 40. Hunt GJ, Freytag S, Bahlo M, Gagnon-Bartsch JA. dtangle: accurate and robust cell type deconvolution. Bioinformatics. 2019;35(12):2093-9. DOI: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/bty926. PMID: 30407492
- 41. Chen Y, Chen L, Lun ATL, Baldoni PL, Smyth GK. edgeR v4: powerful differential analysis of sequencing data with expanded functionality and improved support for small counts and larger datasets. bioRxiv. 2024. DOI: 10.1101/2024.01.21. 576131
- 42. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43(7):e47. DOI: 10.1093/nar/gkv007. PMID: 25605792; PMCID: PMC4402510
- 43. Gadd DA, Stevenson AJ, Hillary RF, McCartney DL, Wrobel N, McCafferty S, et al. Epigenetic predictors of lifestyle traits applied to the blood and brain. Brain Commun. 2021;3(2):fcab082. DOI: 10.1093/braincomms/fcab082. PMID: 34041477; PMCID: PMC8134833

- 44. Zillich L, Poisel E, Streit F, Frank J, Fries GR, Foo JC, et al. Epigenetic signatures of smoking in five brain regions. J Pers Med. 2022;12(4):566. DOI: 10.3390/ jpm12040566. PMID: 35455681; PMCID: PMC9029407
- 45. Sherman BT, Panzade G, Imamichi T, Chang W. DAVID ortholog: an integration tive tool to enhance functional analysis through orthologs. Biginformatics 2024;40(10):btae615. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btae615. PMID: 39412445 PMCID: PMC11520416 ons lic over lied deta

Publisher's note: Genomic Press maintains a position of impartiality and Heart at m regarding territorial assertions represented in published materials and affiliations of institutional nature. As such, we will use the affiliations provided by the attrong so without editing them. Such use simply reflects what the authors submitted to as and it does not indicate that Genomic Press supports any type of territoriate assettions. The second sec other ights stipu

Open Access. This article is licensed to Genomic Press and the erest at the erest at the common statistical states and the erest at the common statistical states and the erest at the common statistical states at the erest at t @**!**\$= national License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0). The license mandates: (1) Attribution Credit must be given to the original work, with a link to the license and notification of \overline{any} changes. The acknowledgment should not imply licensor endorsement 2 NmCom mercial: The material cannot be used for commercial purposes. (3) NoDerivatives Modified versions of the work cannot be distributed. (4) No additional egal of tech nological restrictions may be applied beyond those stipulated in the license Public domain materials or those covered by statutory exceptions are exempt from these terms. This license does not cover all potential rights, such as publicity or privacy rights, which may restrict material use. Third-party content in this article fails and der the article's Creative Commons license unless otherwise stated. It use exceeds? der the article's Creative Common license unless otherwise stated. Euse exceeds the license scope or statutory regulation, permission must be obtained from the copyright holder. For complete license details, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/. The license is provided without warranties. Huge content in this article falls under the article f the license scope or statutory regulation, permission must be obtained from the

scope or statutory regulation, permission must be obtained from the copyright holder. For complete

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

article's Creative This license does not