Brain Medicine

∂ OPEN

EDITORIAL



Medication-induced sterol disruption: An overlooked threat to brain development and public health

© The Author(s), 2025. This article is under exclusive and permanent license to Genomic Press

Brain Medicine; https://doi.org/10.61373/bm025d.0041

Scientific progress often demands that we revisit comfortable assumptions. Occasionally, new data do more than inform—they provoke. The article by Korade and Mirnics in this issue of *Brain Medicine* is a rare and necessary provocation (1). It unveils a silent hazard: that a broad array of widely prescribed drugs, developed and approved for disparate conditions, may converge on a shared off-target toxicity. These medications disrupt sterol biosynthesis—an essential metabolic process underpinning neural development—and do so in ways that mirror the biochemical footprint of devastating genetic syndromes.

Cholesterol, a molecule that has captivated scientific inquiry for generations, stands among the most intensely studied compounds in history—as evidenced by the thirteen Nobel laureates whose distinguished careers were significantly devoted to unraveling its mysteries (2).

While clinical discourse often portrays cholesterol as a cardiovascular villain, its role in the brain reveals a profoundly different narrative. Within this delicate neural landscape, cholesterol emerges not as an adversary but as an indispensable element-a fundamental cornerstone of cerebral architecture without which life itself could not exist. The brain contains a disproportionate 25% of the body's cholesterol, despite accounting for only 2% of total mass (3). This is not incidental—it is the molecular scaffolding upon which brain architecture and connectivity are built. Cholesterol is central to synapse formation, axonal guidance, dendritic arborization, and myelin integrity. Cholesterol and sphingolipids, embedded within membrane raft microdomains, serve as critical signaling molecules that facilitate neuronal differentiation and synaptogenesis, making their proper metabolism essential for maintaining brain function and preventing neurological and neurodegenerative diseases (4). It is actually fascinating that two decades ago there was a race to identify a glia-derived factor that strongly promotes synapse development in cultures of purified CNS neurons. Mauch et al published a paper in Science in 2001 identifying this factor as cholesterol complexed to apolipoprotein E-containing lipoproteins (5). It is therefore not surprising that cholesterol homeostasis is so critical that the brain maintains an autonomous cholesterol economy, isolated by the blood-brain barrier from systemic fluctuations.

From early gestation to late adulthood, this self-contained biosynthetic machinery sustains cognitive and neural function. Genetic disruptions of this pathway—such as in Smith-Lemli-Opitz Syndrome (SLOS), lathosterolosis, desmosterolosis, CDPX2, CHILD syndrome, SC4MOL deficiency, and HEM dysplasia, all caused by pathogenic variants in critical sterol biosynthesis genes—produce catastrophic developmental outcomes (6, 7). This has long served as a warning: perturbing sterol homeostasis in the developing brain is not compatible with health.

Korade and Mirnics have a strong record in this area (8) and what their work has highlighted is profoundly unsettling. Over 30 FDAapproved drugs—among them, psychiatric mainstays such as aripiprazole, trazodone, haloperidol, and cariprazine—have been shown to inhibit DHCR7. This inhibition raises the levels of 7-dehydrocholesterol (7-DHC), suppresses cholesterol synthesis, and generates a sterol profile indistinguishable from that seen in congenital metabolic disorders. This is not a hypothetical concern—it is empirically validated in cell lines, rodent models, and human blood samples. Notably, a comprehensive, systemic review by Bolland and Tatonetti investigated the fetal outcomes following prenatal exposure to DHCR7 modulators, and conceded that "first-trimester exposure to DHCR7 inhibitors resulted in outcomes similar to those of known teratogens" in humans (9).

Even more alarming is the fact that 7-DHC is not inert. It is biochemically volatile— the most oxidizable lipid known in humans with a reactivity 200 times greater than cholesterol. Its accumulation results in the formation of toxic oxysterols such as DHCEO, which impair neurite outgrowth, alter cellular morphology, and damage the fundamental architecture of neuronal connectivity (7, 10, 11). These are not esoteric molecular details. These are mechanisms of harm.

The scenario becomes even more concerning when one considers the effect of polypharmacy. In experimental systems, combinations of two or more DHCR7-inhibiting drugs elevate 7-DHC to levels more than 15 times above control (12, 13). Pregnant women taking multiple such medications exhibited the highest concentrations of 7-DHC in their blood. These effects are not additive—they are often synergistic. And they are happening under the radar of our regulatory systems.

Here, we encounter a pivotal failure in modern pharmacology: regulatory drug approval is based almost exclusively on single-agent safety data, despite the clinical reality that it has become increasingly common for patients to rely on the use of multiple prescription medications (14, 15). Preclinical toxicology, clinical trials, post-marketing surveillance—all assume that medications will be taken in isolation. But that assumption collapses in real-world clinical settings. Most patients—especially those with chronic psychiatric or medical conditions—take multiple drugs simultaneously. Yet these combinations are typically not tested together, not even in animals, let alone in pregnant women or infants. This is a blind spot of breathtaking scale.

What Korade and Mirnics reveal is especially disturbing in this context. If individual drugs can mimic a metabolic disorder, what are we to make of their interactions? We are prescribing molecular cocktails with no empirical knowledge of how they alter developmental neurochemistry. The combinations that are most common in clinical practice are also the least studied. This is not an oversight. It is a systemic design flaw in how we evaluate drug safety (see Fig. 1).

And the vulnerable populations are not hypothetical. At least 1–3% of the general population carry single-allele DHCR7 mutations (16). These individuals are typically asymptomatic, but they live on the edge of sterol balance. A single prescription can tip that balance. Two or more may send them into a biochemical state that resembles SLOS. Neither the clinician nor the patient would ever know.

Moreover, the developmental windows of vulnerability extend well beyond gestation. Myelination, glial proliferation, synaptic pruning, and hormonal shifts occur through infancy, childhood, and adolescence (17). These stages are marked by high demand for sterol-derived signaling and membrane components (18). The sterol-disrupting effects of drugs administered during these periods may manifest not as malformations







Figure 1. Medication-induced disruption of sterol biosynthesis poses significant risks to brain development and function. At the top center of this schematic lies the cholesterol molecule—an anchor of neurobiological integrity—flanked by the structure of haloperidol embedded within the brain, exemplifying one of over 30 FDA-approved compounds known to inhibit DHCR7. These agents, many of which are orally administered and processed through the gastrointestinal–hepatic axis, initiate biochemical disruptions at the level of first-pass metabolism, altering sterol homeostasis before the compounds even reach the central nervous system. The result: accumulation of toxic precursors such as 7-dehydrocholesterol (7-DHC) and their conversion into highly reactive oxysterols (top right), with well-established neurotoxic potential. On the left, a DNA strand signals genetic vulnerability, which can amplify these pathological cascades—particularly during periods of neurodevelopmental sensitivity (lower right). The diverse array of medications (pills, upper left) underscores the wide pharmacologic footprint of this off-target effect, raising serious concerns about additive or synergistic toxicity in the context of polypharmacy. Taken together, this mechanism—once overlooked—demands urgent attention as a pressing public health concern, particularly for developing brains and genetically susceptible populations.

but as subtler, chronic, functional impairments: cognitive delay, emotional dysregulation, behavioral disturbance. We are not tracking these outcomes. We are not even looking.

The implications are immense. The pharmaceutical industry must immediately incorporate sterol biosynthesis screening into all developmental safety assessments. Regulatory agencies must abandon the fiction of monotherapy testing and require at least some modeling of common drug combinations. Clinical trial designs must evolve to reflect the messy reality of modern medicine. And post-marketing surveillance must include not only short-term adverse events but also long-term developmental and behavioral endpoints.

Clinicians, too, must respond with heightened vigilance. Genetic testing for DHCR7 pathogenic variants (and other post-lanosterol biosynthesis enzymes) should be considered in women of childbearing age who require medications known to disrupt sterol synthesis. Polypharmacy involving such drugs should be avoided during pregnancy

bm.genomicpress.com

whenever possible. Patient counseling must include discussions of these risks—especially in psychiatric care, where these medications are often initiated early and continued long-term.

Korade and Mirnics are not offering a mere caution. They are challenging the very architecture of how we think about drug safety. The problem they highlight is not that a few drugs have an unfortunate side effect. It is that our entire system of medication evaluation ignores the complexities of developmental biology, genetic susceptibility, and real-world prescribing patterns.

We must no longer regard sterol biosynthesis as an obscure metabolic pathway. It is a central axis of brain development. And the disruption of that axis-whether by mutation, medication, or both-has consequences that are profound, irreversible, and avoidable. Despite decades of pharmaceutical development, we lack a comprehensive catalogue of FDA-approved medications with sterol-inhibiting side effects—a critical knowledge gap that may obscure iatrogenic disruptions of this essential pathway.

This is a call to action. Not someday. Now.

Julio Licinio¹ 💷

¹Editor-in-Chief, Brain Medicine, Genomic Press, New York, New York 10036, USA [™]e-mail: julio.licinio@genomicpress.com

References

- Korade Ž, Mirnics K. Sterol biosynthesis disruption by common prescription medications: critical implications for neural development and brain health. Brain Medicine. 2025:1-6. DOI: 10.61373/bm025p.0011
- 2. Brown MS, Goldstein JL. A receptor-mediated pathway for cholesterol homeostasis. Science. 1986;232(4746):34-47. DOI: 10.1126/science.3513311. PMID: 3513311
- 3. Mistry H, Richardson CD, Higginbottom A, Ashford B, Ahamed SU, Moore Z, et al. Relationships of brain cholesterol and cholesterol biosynthetic enzymes to Alzheimer's pathology and dementia in the CFAS population-derived neuropathology cohort. Neurosci Res. 2024;204:22-33. DOI: 10.1016/j.neures.2024.01.003. PMID: 38278219; PMCID: PMC11192635
- 4. Hussain G, Wang J, Rasul A, Anwar H, Imran A, Qasim M, et al. Role of cholesterol and sphingolipids in brain development and neurological diseases. Lipids Health Dis. 2019;18(1):26. DOI: 10.1186/s12944-019-0965-z. PMID: 30683111; PMCID: PMC6347843
- 5. Mauch DH, Nagler K, Schumacher S, Goritz C, Muller EC, Otto A, et al. CNS synaptogenesis promoted by glia-derived cholesterol. Science. 2001;294(5545):1354-7. DOI: 10.1126/science.294.5545.1354. PMID: 11701931
- Waye JS, Eng B, Nowaczyk MJ. Prenatal diagnosis of Smith-Lemli-Opitz syndrome (SLOS) by DHCR7 mutation analysis. Prenat Diagn. 2007;27(7):638-40. DOI: 10.1002/ pd.1735. PMID: 17441222
- 7. Porter FD, Herman GE. Malformation syndromes caused by disorders of cholesterol synthesis. J Lipid Res. 2011;52(1):6-34. DOI: 10.1194/jlr.R009548. PMID: 20929975; PMCID: PMC2999931
- 8. Korade Z, Heffer M, Mirnics K. Medication effects on developmental sterol biosynthesis. Mol Psychiatry. 2022;27(1):490-501. DOI: 10.1038/s41380-021-01074-5. PMID: 33820938; PMCID: PMC8490477
- 9. Boland MR. Tatonetti NP. Investigation of 7-dehvdrocholesterol reductase pathway to elucidate off-target prenatal effects of pharmaceuticals: a systematic review. Phar-



macogenomics J. 2016;16(5):411-29. DOI: 10.1038/tpj.2016.48. PMID: 27401223; PMCID: PMC5028238

- 10. Xu L, Porter NA. Free radical oxidation of cholesterol and its precursors: implications in cholesterol biosynthesis disorders. Free Radic Res. 2015;49(7):835-49. DOI: 10.3109/ 10715762.2014.985219. PMID: 25381800; PMCID: PMC4461549
- 11. Xu L, Mirnics K, Bowman AB, Liu W, Da J, Porter NA, et al. DHCEO accumulation is a critical mediator of pathophysiology in a Smith-Lemli-Opitz syndrome model. Neurobiol Dis. 2012;45(3):923-9. DOI: 10.1016/j.nbd.2011.12.011. PMID: 22182693; PMCID: PMC367477
- 12. Alhumaidi RM, Bamagous GA, Alsanosi SM, Alqashqari HS, Qadhi RS, Alhindi YZ, et al. Risk of polypharmacy and its outcome in terms of drug interaction in an elderly population: A retrospective cross-sectional study. J Clin Med. 2023;12(12). DOI: 10.3390/ jcm12123960. PMID: 37373654; PMCID: PMC10299180
- Genaro-Mattos TC, Klingelsmith KB, Allen LB, Anderson A, Tallman KA, Porter NA, et al. 13. Sterol biosynthesis inhibition in pregnant women taking prescription medications. ACS Pharmacol Transl Sci. 2021;4(2):848–57. DOI: 10.1021/acsptsci.1c00012. PMID: 33860207; PMCID: PMC8033759
- 14. Ridge S, Yang X, Madabushi R, Ramamoorthy A. Addressing drug-drug interaction knowledge gaps at the time of approval: an analysis of FDA postmarketing requirements and commitments from 2009 to 2023. J Clin Pharmacol. 2025;65(3):378-88. DOI: 10.1002/jcph.6142. PMID: 39363538
- 15. Cross JL, Iben J, Simpson CL, Thurm A, Swedo S, Tierney E, et al. Determination of the allelic frequency in Smith-Lemli-Opitz syndrome by analysis of massively parallel sequencing data sets. Clin Genet. 2015;87(6):570-5. DOI: 10.1111/cge.12425. PMID: 24813812; PMCID: PMC4225182
- 16. Witsch-Baumgartner M, Loffler J, Utermann G. Mutations in the human DHCR7 gene. Hum Mutat. 2001;17(3):172-82. DOI: 10.1002/humu.2. PMID: 11241839
- Spear LP. Adolescent neurodevelopment. J Adolesc Health. 2013;52(2 Suppl 2):S7–13. 17 DOI: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2012.05.006. PMID: 23332574; PMCID: PMC3982854
- 18. Peeples ES, Mirnics K, Korade Z. Chemical inhibition of sterol biosynthesis. Biomolecules. 2024;14(4):410. DOI: 10.3390/biom14040410. PMID: 38672427; PMCID: PMC11048061

Publisher's note: Genomic Press maintains a position of impartiality and neutrality regarding territorial assertions represented in published materials and affiliations of institutional nature. As such, we will use the affiliations provided by the authors, without editing them. Such use simply reflects what the authors submitted to us and it does not indicate that Genomic Press supports any type of territorial assertions.

Open Access. This article is licensed to Genomic Press under the Cre-ative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0). The license mandates: (1) Attribution: Credit must be given to the original work, with a link to the license and notification of any changes. The acknowledgment should not imply licensor endorsement. (2) NonCommercial: The material cannot be used for commercial purposes. (3) NoDerivatives: Modified versions of the work cannot be distributed. (4) No additional legal or technological restrictions may be applied beyond those stipulated in the license. Public domain materials or those covered by statutory exceptions are exempt from these terms. This license does not cover all potential rights, such as publicity or privacy rights, which may restrict material use. Third-party content in this article falls under the article's Creative Commons license unless otherwise stated. If use exceeds the license scope or statutory regulation, permission must be obtained from the copyright holder. For complete license details, visit https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/. The license is provided without warranties.