Brain Medicine

OPEN

RESEARCH REPORT

Ultra-short heart rate variability reliability for cardiac autonomic tone assessment in severe traumatic brain injury

Hiago Murilo Melo^{1,[2](#page-0-1)} [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1545-2830) Norma Beatriz Diaz Rangel^{1,2} \bullet , Guilherme Loureiro Fialho^{1,[3](#page-0-2)} \bullet [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4435-6768) Cristiane Ribeiro de C[arv](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9875-6687)alho^{[1,](#page-0-0)[2,](#page-0-1)[6](#page-0-3)} \bullet , Katia Lin^{[1](#page-0-0)[,4](#page-0-4)[,5,](#page-0-5)[7](#page-0-3)} \bullet [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5401-7524) and Roger Walz^{1[,2](#page-0-1)[,4,](#page-0-4)[5,](#page-0-5)7} \bullet

¹ Center for Applied Neuroscience, University Hospital (HU), UFSC, Florianópolis, Santa Catarina 88035-972, Brazil 2Graduate Program in Neuroscience, UFSC, Florianópolis, Santa Catarina 88035-972, Brazil 3Cardiology Service, Department of Internal Medicine, HU, UFSC, Florianópolis, Santa Catarina 88035-972, Brazil 4Neurology Division, Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital, UFSC, Florianópolis, Santa Cantarina, 88035-972, Brazil ⁵Graduate Program in Medical Sciences, UFSC, Florianópolis, Santa Catarina 88035-972, Brazil 6Toxicology Division, Department of Pathology, UFSC, Florianópolis, Santa Catarina 88035-972, Brazil 7Center for Epilepsy Surgery of Santa Catarina (CEPESC), HU, UFSC, Florianópolis, Santa Catarina 88035-972, Brazil

Corresponding Author: Roger Walz, Departamento de Clínica Médica, Hospital Universitário, 3° andar, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Trindade, Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, Brasil, Tel.: 88.040-970. E-mail: rogerwalz@hotmail.com

Brain Medicine; <https://doi.org/10.61373/bm024r.0070>

This study compares heart rate variability (HRV) indices across different time epochs (5 minutes, 1 minute, and 30 seconds) to evaluate the reliability of ultra-short recordings for assessing cardiac autonomic tone 1 year after a severe traumatic brain injury (TBI). Electrocardiogram recordings were obtained from 48 patients 1 year after a severe TBI. Pearson correlation analysis was performed to evaluate the association between ultra-short HRV indices (1 minute and 30 seconds) and the standard 5-minute recordings. Additionally, ANOVA was used to compare the differences in mean HRV indices across the different epochs. The correlation analysis supports that time-domain indices present higher correlation coefficients (*r* **= 0.63 to 0.99,** *p <* **0.05) when** compared with frequency-domain indices $(r = 0.51$ to 0.97, $p < 0.05$). **The reduction in recording time increases the percentage variation of all indices. The root mean square of the successive differences of RR intervals (rMSSD) shows higher Pearson coefficient values and lower percentage variation at the 1-minute and 30-second epochs compared with other HRV indices. Ultra-short HRV indices are reliable for assessing cardiac autonomic tone in chronic patients who survived severe TBI. rMSSD was the most reliable HRV index for ultra-short recordings. The value of ultra-short HRV for cardiovascular prognosis after severe TBI remains to be determined in future studies.**

Keywords: Cardiac autonomic tone, heart rate variability, rMSSD, TBI, ultra-short recording.

Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a major health and socioeconomic problem worldwide $(1, 2)$ $(1, 2)$ $(1, 2)$. TBI is classified according to the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score into mild, moderate, and severe categories [\(3\)](#page-6-2). The

Received: 3 May 2024. Revised: 28 August 2024. Accepted: 6 September 2024. Published online: 24 September 2024.

complex interplay between primary (e.g., trauma-related injuries) and secondary (e.g., inflammatory responses following injury) brain damage influences patient severity $(1, 4)$ $(1, 4)$ $(1, 4)$. Patients with a history of severe TBI commonly develop psychiatric disorders $(5, 6)$ $(5, 6)$ $(5, 6)$, cognitive impairments $(7 -$ [10\)](#page-6-7), or an increased risk of sudden unexpected death [\(11\)](#page-6-8). The disability caused by TBI imposes high costs on society, as most affected individuals are young adults who require medical treatment and are often unable to return to work [\(12,](#page-6-9) [13\)](#page-6-10). Investigating functional outcome biomarkers after TBI presents an opportunity to develop technologies for monitoring treatment responses, ultimately improving clinical care for patients $(14-16)$ $(14-16)$.

The sympathetic and parasympathetic branches of the autonomic nervous system (ANS) regulate cardiac rhythm via synapses at the sinoatrial node to produce adaptive responses (17) . Heart rate variability (HRV) is a widely used noninvasive measure for assessing cardiac ANS function [\(18\)](#page-7-1). HRV analysis provides quantitative indices derived from the time intervals between successive heartbeats to evaluate both sympathetic and parasympathetic heart activity [\(19\)](#page-7-2). The neurovisceral model suggests that cardiac ANS activity, as assessed by HRV, reflects the synaptic interactions between the prefrontal cortex and the amygdala via the vagus nerve [\(20](#page-7-3)[–22\)](#page-7-4). In this model, the similarities between central nervous system structures that regulate cardiac autonomic tone and cognitive performance suggest that HRV may serve as a peripheral index of the functional integrity of central nervous system networks associated with goal-directed behavior [\(23\)](#page-7-5). Numerous studies support the associ-ation between HRV and cognitive performance [\(21,](#page-7-6) [24\)](#page-7-7), emotional regulation [\(20,](#page-7-3) [25–](#page-7-8)[27\)](#page-7-9) and functional measures of the central nervous system [\(22,](#page-7-4) [28,](#page-7-10) [29\)](#page-7-11). Consequently, several studies propose that HRV indices may serve as potential biomarkers for functional outcomes in both healthy and clinical populations.

It is now well established, based on a variety of studies, that patients with TBI have lower HRV compared with healthy controls [\(14,](#page-6-11) [30–](#page-7-12) [33\)](#page-7-13). The reduction in HRV begins in the acute phase of injury but can gradually recover over the months of rehabilitation [\(33\)](#page-7-13). Despite the recovery of cardiac autonomic tone, physiological changes may remain permanent even after an extended recovery period (31) . Patients with moderate or severe TBI exhibit a more pronounced reduction in HRV compared with those with mild TBI, suggesting that the severity of trauma is associated with the magnitude of cardiac autonomic dysfunction [\(34\)](#page-7-15). Recently, there has been increased interest in using HRV as a biomarker for monitoring post-TBI outcomes [\(14\)](#page-6-11). Recent studies suggest that HRV is associated with the prediction of imminent brain death and global patient outcomes [\(14,](#page-6-11) [30,](#page-7-12) [35\)](#page-7-16). Sung *et al.* (2016) reported that HRV was correlated with symptoms of depression and anxiety in patients with TBI. This finding is supported by other studies that have reported an association between HRV and symptoms of depression [\(36\)](#page-7-17) and anxiety [\(37\)](#page-7-18). Data from several studies suggest that higher HRV is associated with better functional outcomes (e.g., neurological or psychiatric functioning) after TBI (14) . HRV is a well-described method for assessing cardiac autonomic tone with various clinical applications, but at least 5 minutes of recording is necessary to obtain reliable values due to the influence of posture on cardiac autonomic regulation [\(18\)](#page-7-1). Developing faster recording methods could enhance the applicability of HRV in clinical practice.

Previous research has established that ultra-short HRV recordings (≤1 minute) can provide reliable HRV indices in both healthy (38-[40\)](#page-7-20) and clinical populations [\(41,](#page-7-21) [42\)](#page-7-22). Melo *et al.* (2018) compared HRV intervals of 1, 2, and 3 minutes with the gold standard period (\geq 5 minutes) and reported that the ultra-short-term recording method can offer a quick and reliable means of assessing cardiac ANS function. The reliability of ultrashort HRV indices (including recordings of \leq 1 minute) has been replicated in other studies [\(39–](#page-7-23)[42\)](#page-7-22). The existing body of research suggests that rMSSD is the most reliable HRV index in ultra-short epochs, but the debate continues regarding the minimum time required to obtain reliable assessments of time or frequency domain indices. Although several reports support the reliability of ultra-short HRV recordings, there are no

studies investigating the reliability of these recordings specifically in patients with TBI. The reliability of some ultra-short HRV indices reported in previous studies may not be directly generalizable to patients with TBI. This study compares time and frequency domain HRV indices across different time epochs (5 minutes, 1 minute, and 30 seconds) to evaluate the reliability of ultra-short recordings for assessing cardiac autonomic tone in patients with TBI.

Results

The clinical and demographic data of patients with TBI are shown in [Table 1.](#page-1-0) This study included 9 women (18.75%) and 39 men (81.25%) with a mean age of 37.18 (± 15.56) years. The patients had a mean hospitalization duration of 30.60 (± 16.49) days, with a mean ICU stay of 15.00 (±7.51) days. Most patients with TBI had associated trauma (61.7%) and were classified as Marshall III (44.68%). GCS distribution showed that 55.32% of patients had scores of 6 (12.77%), 7 (17.02%), and 8 (25.53%), with 82.98% presenting with isochoric pupils. Most patients had a GCS score of 3 (56.25%) at hospital discharge.

The Pearson correlation analysis between 5-minute, 1-minute, and 30- second epochs of HRV indices is shown in [Table 2.](#page-2-0) For 1-minute epochs, time-domain HRV indices (RR, HR, SDNN, rMSSD, and pNN50) exhibited higher mean *r* values (*r* = 0.84 to 0.99) compared with frequency-domain

indices (VLF, LF, HF) $(r = 0.30 \text{ to } 0.93)$ (see [Figure 1\)](#page-3-0). Similar results were observed for 30-second epochs (time-domain:*r*=0.80 to 0.99; frequencydomain: $r = 0.24$ to 0.93) (see [Figure 2\)](#page-4-0). The mean r coefficients were higher for 1-minute epochs in both time-domain ($r = 0.84$ to 0.99) and frequency-domain indices ($r = 0.30$ to 0.93) compared with 30-second epochs (time-domain: $r = 0.80$ to 0.99; frequency-domain: $r = 0.24$ to 0.93). rMSSD presented higher *r* values compared with other HRV indices for both 1-minute and 30-second epochs (all time epochs with $r = 0.99$, $p < 0.05$).

The ANOVA comparison of mean HRV indices between 5-minute, 1-minute, and 30-second epochs is shown in [Table 3.](#page-2-1) ANOVA indicated that there is no significant difference in HRV mean values between the 5-minute and 1-minute epochs ($p > 0.05$). However, the posthoc analysis revealed that the mean VLF differed significantly ($F = 1.95$, $p = 0.08$) for the ANOVA, but *p* < 0.05 for posthoc comparisons of the 1st, 3rd, and 5th epochs). The comparison between 30-second epochs and 5-minute HRV mean values revealed that the mean values of 30-second VLF epochs were significantly different ($F = 10.75$, $p = 0.0001$). The posthoc analysis indicated that some SDNN epochs were significantly different ($F = 1.17$, $p = 0.32$ for ANOVA, but $p < 0.05$ for posthoc comparisons of the 1st and 4th epochs). No significant differences were observed for other indices. rMSSD exhibited lower percentage variations across 1-minute (0.97%) and 30-second (0.46%) epochs compared to other HRV indices (see [Figure 3\)](#page-5-0).

Discussion

This study investigated the reliability of ultra-short HRV indices for assessing cardiac autonomic tone in patients with TBI. The results suggest that all HRV indices show significant associations for 1-minute and 30-second epochs (except VLF and the 4th 30-second epoch for LF). Timedomain indices exhibit higher correlation coefficients compared with frequency-domain indices. All HRV indices show a percentage variation in mean values across different time epochs, indicating that positive associations do not necessarily reflect numerical equivalence. The comparison of mean values revealed that VLF values in 30-second epochs were significantly different. The posthoc analysis indicated that some 1-minute VLF epochs and the 4th SDNN 30-second epoch were significantly different (*p* < 0.05). For both 1-minute and 30-second epochs, rMSSD showed higher Pearson correlation coefficients and a lower percentage of mean value variation across the two-time epochs.

This finding is consistent with Nussinovitch *et al.* (2011), who reported that rMSSD exhibits higher reliability for ≤1-minute HRV ultrashort recordings. Similar results were reported by Melo *et al.* (2018), who compared 1-minute, 2-minute, and 3-minute epochs and found that rMSSD had higher Pearson coefficients across all time epochs. Munoz *et al.* (2015) also reported a significant association for rMSSD in 30- second epochs. These results, previously reported in healthy samples [\(38–](#page-7-19) [40\)](#page-7-20) are replicated in clinical populations, as observed in epilepsy [\(41\)](#page-7-21) and diabetes [\(42\)](#page-7-22). The existing body of research on ultra-short HRV suggests that rMSSD is the most reliable index for ultra-short recordings. rMSSD is less influenced by heart rate fluctuations and is more stable during periods of stationary oscillations because it is calculated based on the difference between RR intervals [\(43,](#page-7-24) [44\)](#page-7-25). Consistent with the literature, this research found that the reliability of rMSSD reported for healthy samples, as well as for epilepsy and diabetes, can be extended to patients with TBI.

Surprisingly, the comparison between 1-minute and 30-second epochs for other HRV indices showed significant Pearson coefficients for RR, HR, SDNN, pNN50, LF, and HF. This finding contrasts with previous studies [\(38,](#page-7-19) [39,](#page-7-23) [45\)](#page-7-26), which have suggested that longer recordings are required for SDNN and frequency domain indices. However, it corroborates the findings reported by Munoz *et al.* (2015), which demonstrated SDNN reliability for 30-second epochs. Similar results were reported by McNames and Aboy (2006), who demonstrated a significant association between \leq 1-minute and 5-minute epochs for HF. The controversy regarding SDNN and frequency domain reliability may arise from the influence of nonstationary artifacts that impair the replicability of ultra-short indices compared with 5-minute recordings. Consequently, selecting only

BRAIN MEDICINE Genomic Press

Table 2. Pearson correlation analysis of HRV indices between time epochs

Mean RR intervals (RR, ms); Mean heart rate (HR, bpm); Standard deviation of RR intervals (SDNN, ms); Root mean square of the successive differences of RR intervals (rMSSD, ms); Percentage of RR intervals with difference in successive RR intervals longer than 50 ms (pNN50, %); Very low frequency (0.01–0.04 Hz, VLF, ms2); Low frequency (0.04–0.15 Hz, LF, ms2); High frequency (0.15–0.4 Hz, HF, ms2); *p* < 0.05 for *Bonferroni* multiple comparison correction (∗).

a few (≤ 3) random epochs from a 5-minute recording may introduce selection bias that affects reliability. The reliability of SDNN and frequency domain indices would benefit from further studies [\(38\)](#page-7-19). Although SDNN and time-domain indices show significant associations with 5 minute epochs, their mean values exhibit greater variance compared with rMSSD. Therefore, our results should be interpreted with caution. rMSSD, which clearly represents parasympathetic activity [\(18,](#page-7-1) [21\)](#page-7-6), shows lower mean value variation across 5-minute recordings and higher Pearson coefficients for \leq 1-minute epochs [\(38](#page-7-19)-40). Thus, our results support the conclusion that rMSSD is the most reliable index for ultra-short recordings in patients with TBI.

nisms underlying these conditions have been the subject of intense debate within the scientific community [\(20–](#page-7-3)[22,](#page-7-4) [26,](#page-7-27) [27\)](#page-7-9). HRV maintenance is associated with various cardiovascular, physiological, metabolic, and psychological variables [\(18,](#page-7-1) [21,](#page-7-6) [46\)](#page-7-28). Recent trends in HRV clinical applications suggest that HRV can reflect a general state of well-being, serving as a sensitive but nonspecific biomarker for individual symptoms [\(47\)](#page-7-29). While some researchers have reported normative values for healthy samples, there is no consensus on a "safe zone" for HRV values [\(48\)](#page-7-30). Developing a generalized normative database can be challenging due to the precise quantitative measurement required for all daily variables associated with HRV fluctuations. A possible strategy for clinical application development might be to use a single-subject model, which compares values with baseline reference values. This model is used for monitoring fatigue and

Cardiac autonomic dysfunction, assessed by HRV, has been reported in several diseases. However, the common pathophysiological mecha-

Results are presented in mean ± sd; mean RR intervals (RR, ms); Mean heart rate (HR, bpm); Standard deviation of RR intervals (SDNN, ms); Root mean square of the successive differences of RR intervals (rMSSD, ms); Percentage of RR intervals with difference in successive RR intervals longer than 50 ms (pNN50, %); Very low frequency (0.01–0.04 Hz, VLF, ms2); Low frequency (0.04–0.15 Hz, LF, ms2); High frequency (0.15–0.4 Hz, HF, ms2); Mean values percentage of variation across epochs (%∆); $p < 0.05$ for posthoc comparison to 5-minute epoch (*).

Figure 1. Associations between 1-minute with 5-minute epochs of HRV indices.

training load in high-performance athletes [\(49\)](#page-7-31). Therefore, ultra-short measurements could enhance patient adherence to daily HRV recording. Our results support that ultra-short HRV recording is a simple, fast, and noninvasive method for evaluating cardiac autonomic tone in patients with TBI, with rMSSD being the most reliable index for ultra-short recordings. The ultra-short recording method could improve the applicability of HRV in clinical settings.

Ultra-short HRV measurements, defined as recordings shorter than 5 minutes, have shown potential as a noninvasive tool for monitoring ANS function. In the context of TBI care, these measurements could provide valuable insights into autonomic dysregulation, which is commonly observed in patients with TBI and is associated with poor outcomes [\(50\)](#page-7-32). By applying ultra-short HRV measurements in clinical settings, it may be possible to develop more timely and personalized interventions aimed at

Figure 2. Associations between 30-second with 5-minute epochs of HRV indices.

improving patient outcomes. Future research should focus on validating the efficacy of these measurements in predicting TBI progression and recovery, as well as determining their utility in guiding treatment decisions. This approach aligns with the growing body of evidence supporting the use of HRV as a biomarker for various neurological conditions, including TBI [\(33,](#page-7-13) [36,](#page-7-17) [37,](#page-7-18) [51](#page-7-33)[–53\)](#page-7-34).

Our results should be interpreted with caution. The HRV data used in these analyses were recorded under controlled conditions (e.g., supine position, quiet room, proper baseline resting period), so these results may not fully reflect typical environmental conditions in various hospitals or

clinics where electrocardiogram (EKG) recordings are performed. Addressing measurement issues such as variability and artifact management is crucial for improving the accuracy and reliability of HRV assessments across different populations [\(54\)](#page-7-35). Moreover, incorporating longitudinal designs could provide valuable insights into the temporal aspects of patient compliance with brain recovery interventions that utilize HRV measurements and training. Such studies would help to better understand how adherence to these methods changes over time and its effect on patient recovery [\(47,](#page-7-29) [55\)](#page-7-36). This approach will advance our understanding of the practical integration of HRV metrics into TBI rehabilitation

bm.genomicpress.com

Figure 3. Comparison between mean values of HRV indices in time epochs (5-minute, 1-minute, 30-second).

protocols. Although some HRV indices remain reliable for ultra-short recordings, general precautions for preparing patients for regular EKG recordings should be maintained. The reliability of ultra-short recordings may not be generalizable to uncontrolled environments or situations where proper postural position or baseline resting conditions are not followed. Short HRV assessments may, in the future, offer a practical and efficient method for evaluating patients with TBI, particularly in resource-constrained settings.

Ultra-short HRV indices are reliable for assessing cardiac autonomic tone in patients with TBI. The correlation between ultra-short recordings (1 minute and 30 seconds) and standard time recordings (5 minutes) supports that time-domain indices exhibit higher correlation coefficients compared to frequency-domain indices. The comparison between the results of the 1-minute and 30-second epochs indicates that reducing recording time increases the percentage variation of all HRV indices. rMSSD exhibits higher Pearson coefficient values and lower percentage of variation at both 1-minute and 30-second epochs compared with other

BRAIN MEDICINE Genomic Press

Methods

Participants

This study included 48 patients with TBI from Hospital Governador Celso Ramos (HGCR) and Hospital Homero de Miranda Gomes (HHMG), two reference hospitals for brain trauma in the public health system of Santa Catarina state, southern Brazil, between April 2014 and January 2016. The inclusion criteria were a GCS score of 8 or lower after acute neurosurgical resuscitation, without sedation, or a deterioration to that level within 48 hours of hospital admission, and a favorable outcome (Glasgow Outcome Scale 4 or 5) one year after hospitalization, when the EKG was performed. The exclusion criteria were poor quality of the EKG signal during the predetermined sampling period and patients with a history of known cardiac disease (as indicated by medical records and patient oral confirmation). The research protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee for Human Research at Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina (Plataforma Brazil Registration 02832612.6.1001.0121), and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Electrocardiographic Recording

The Nihon Kohden amplifier was used for EKG recording, sampled at 512 Hz. All EKG recordings were performed between 2 and 4 PM while the patients were in a supine position. The skin areas where the disposable Ag/AgCl electrodes were applied were cleaned with 70% isopropyl alcohol. The electrodes were placed in a triangular chest configuration. Muscle artifact epochs (<2%) were identified through visual inspection and excluded from the analysis. The first 5 minutes of EKG recording, without muscular artifacts, were used for HRV analysis. The QRS complex identification, RR interval extraction, and HRV analysis were performed us-ing Kubios v2.3 software [\(56\)](#page-7-37). The following time-domain and frequencydomain HRV indices were calculated: a) Mean RR intervals (RR, ms); b) Mean heart rate (HR, bpm); c) Standard deviation of RR intervals (SDNN, ms); d) Root mean square of successive differences of RR intervals (rMSSD, ms); e) Percentage of RR intervals with differences in successive RR intervals longer than 50 ms (pNN50, %); f) Very low frequency (0.01–0.04 Hz, VLF, ms²); g) Low frequency (0.04–0.15 Hz, LF, ms²); h) High frequency $(0.15-0.4 \text{ Hz}, \text{HF}, \text{ms}^2)$. A fast Fourier transform using a Hanning window of 256 seconds width with 50% overlap was used for frequency domain indices analysis. All HRV indices extraction was based on the Task Force of The European Society of Cardiology and The North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology guidelines (1996). The 5-minute recordings were reanalyzed in consecutive 1-minute epochs (1st – 1 minute; 2nd – 1 minute; 3rd – 1 minute; 4th – 1 minute; 5th – 1 minute) and 30 second epochs (1st – 30 seconds; 2nd – 30 seconds; 3rd – 30 seconds; 4th – 30 seconds; 5th – 30 seconds). To prevent selection bias, the 30 second epochs were selected from the final portion of the consecutive 1 minute epochs.

Statistical Analysis

All data were normally distributed as assessed by the Shapiro–Wilk test $(p > 0.05)$. ANOVA was used to compare the mean values of HRV indices across different time epochs. Pearson correlation was used to evaluate the association between HRV values at different time intervals (5 minutes, 1 minute, and 30 seconds). The *p*-values from the Pearson correlation analysis were corrected using the Bonferroni multiple comparisons correction. A *p*-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical procedures were performed using Stata 14.0 (Version 14; StataCorp LLC, Texas, USA).

Author Disclosures

The authors declare no conflicts of interest, sources of funding, or financial ties to disclose. They have no current or past relationships with companies or manufacturers that could benefit from the results of the present study.

Author Contributions

Hiago Murilo Melo: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Software, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Beatriz Rangel**: Writing – review & editing, Visualization. **Guilherme Loureiro Fialho**: Writing – review & editing, Validation. **Katia Lin**: Writing – review & editing, Visualization. **Roger Walz**: Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Validation and Supervision.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Programa de Pesquisa para o SUS – PP-SUS – FAPESC (TO 2021TR000564). KL and RW are Research Fellows from CNPq (Brazilian Council for Scientific and Technological Development, Brazil). KL is also supported by PRONEM (Programa de Apoio a Núcleos Emergentes – KETODIET-SC Project – Process No 2020TR736) from FAPESC/CNPq, Santa Catarina, Brazil. HMM is supported by a CAPES/DS scholarship.

Ethical Review

The research protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee for Human Research at Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina (Plataforma Brazil Registration 02832612.6.1001.0121), and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

References

- 1. Maas AI, Stocchetti N, Bullock R. Moderate and severe traumatic brain injury in adults. Lancet Neurol. 2008;7:728–41. DOI: [10.1016/S1474-4422\(08\)70164-9.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(08)70164-9) PMID: 18635021
- 2. Corrigan JD, Selassie AW, Orman JAL. The epidemiology of traumatic brain injury. J Head Trauma Rehabil. 2010;25:72–80. DOI: [10.1097/HTR.0b013e3181ccc8b4.](https://doi.org/10.1097/HTR.0b013e3181ccc8b4) PMID: 20234226
- 3. Barlow KM. Traumatic brain injury. Handb Clin Neurol. 2013;112:891–904. DOI: [10.1016/B978-0-444-52910-7.00011-8.](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-52910-7.00011-8) PMID: 23622299
- 4. Roozenbeek B, Maas AIR, Menon DK. Changing patterns in the epidemiology of traumatic brain injury. Nat Rev Neurol. 2013;9(4):231–6. DOI: [10.1038/nrneurol.2013.22.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2013.22) PMID: 23443846
- 5. Diaz AP, Schwarzbold ML, Thais ME, Hohl A, Bertotti MM, Schmoeller R, et al. Psychiatric disorders and health-related quality of life after severe traumatic brain injury: a prospective study. J Neurotrauma. 2012;29:1029–37. DOI: [10.1089/neu.2011.2089.](https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.2011.2089) PMID: 22111890
- 6. Schwarzbold M, Diaz A, Martins ET, Rufino A, Amante LN, Thais ME, et al. Psychiatric disorders and traumatic brain injury. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2008;4(4):797–816. DOI: [10.2147/ndt.s2653.](https://doi.org/10.2147/ndt.s2653) PMID: 19043523; PMCID: [PMC2536546](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2536546)
- 7. Himanen L, Portin R, Isoniemi H, Helenius H, Kurki T, Tenovuo O. Longitudinal cognitive changes in traumatic brain injury: a 30-year follow-up study. Neurology. 2006;66:187– 92. DOI: [10.1212/01.wnl.0000194264.60150.d3.](https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000194264.60150.d3) PMID: 16434651
- 8. Rabinowitz AR, Levin HS. Cognitive sequelae of traumatic brain injury. Psychiatr Clin North Am. 2014;37:1–11. DOI: [10.1016/j.psc.2013.11.004.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psc.2013.11.004) PMID: 24529420; PMCID: [PMC3927143](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3927143)
- 9. Dikmen SS, Corrigan JD, Levin HS, MacHamer J, Stiers W, Weisskopf MG. Cognitive outcome following traumatic brain injury. J Head Trauma Rehabil. 2009;24:430–8. DOI: [10.1097/HTR.0b013e3181c133e9.](https://doi.org/10.1097/HTR.0b013e3181c133e9) PMID: 19940676
- 10. de Oliveira Thais MER, Cavallazzi G, Formolo DA, de Castro LD, Schmoeller R, Guarnieri R, et al. Limited predictive power of hospitalization variables for long-term cognitive prognosis in adult patients with severe traumatic brain injury. J Neuropsychol. 2014;8(1):125–39. DOI: [10.1111/jnp.12000.](https://doi.org/10.1111/jnp.12000) PMID: 23167479
- 11. McMillan TM, Weir CJ, Wainman-Lefley J. Mortality and morbidity 15 years after hospital admission with mild head injury: a prospective case-controlled population study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2014;85:1214–20. DOI: [10.1136/jnnp-2013-307279.](https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2013-307279) PMID: 24623794
- 12. Balan AB, Walz R, Diaz AP, Schwarzbold ML. Return to work after severe traumatic brain injury: further investigation of the role of personality changes. Brazilian J Psychiatry. 2021;43:340–1. DOI: [10.1590/1516-4446-2020-1660.](https://doi.org/10.1590/1516-4446-2020-1660) PMID: 33710251; PMCID: [PMC8136390](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8136390)
- 13. Martins ET, Linhares MN, Sousa DS, Schroeder HK, Meinerz J, Rigo LA, et al. Mortality in severe traumatic brain injury: a multivariated analysis of 748 Brazilian patients from florianópolis city. J Trauma. 2009;67(1):85–90. DOI: [10.1097/TA.0b013e318187acee.](https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0b013e318187acee) PMID: 19590314
- 14. Lee Y, Walsh RJ, Fong MWM, Sykora M, Doering MM, Wong AWK. Heart rate variability as a biomarker of functional outcomes in persons with acquired brain injury: systematic [review and meta-analysis. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2021;131:737–54. DOI:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2021.10.004) 10.1016/j. neubiorev.2021.10.004. PMID: 34626686; PMCID: [PMC9006352](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9006352)
- 15. GulloJS, Bertotti MM, Silva CCP, Schwarzbold M, Diaz AP, Soares FMS, et al. Hospital mortality of patients with severe traumatic brain injury is associated with serum PTX3 levels. Neurocrit Care. 2011;14:194–9. DOI: [10.1007/s12028-010-9462-y.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12028-010-9462-y) PMID: 20972645
- 16. Areas FZ, Schwarzbold ML, Diaz AP, Rodrigues IK, Sousa DS, Ferreira CL, et al. Predictors of hospital mortality and the related burden of disease in severe traumatic brain injury: [a prospective multicentric study in Brazil. Front Neurol. 2019;10:432. DOI:](https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2019.00432) 10.3389/ fneur.2019.00432. PMID: 31105642; PMCID: [PMC6494964](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6494964)

- 17. Sztajzel J. Heart rate variability: a noninvasive electrocardiographic method to mea[sure the autonomic nervous system. Swiss Med Wkly. 2004;134:514–22. DOI:](https://doi.org/2004/35/smw-10321) 2004/35/ smw-10321. PMID: 15517504
- 18. Laborde S, Mosley E, Thayer JF. Heart rate variability and cardiac vagal tone in psychophysiological research – recommendations for experiment planning, data analysis, and data reporting. Front Psychol. 2017;8:213. DOI: [10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00213.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00213) PMID: 28265249; PMCID: [PMC5316555](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5316555)
- 19. Billman GE, Huikuri HV, Sacha J, Trimmel K. An introduction to heart rate variability: methodological considerations and clinical applications. Front Physiol. 2015;6:55. DOI: [10.3389/fphys.2015.00055.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2015.00055) PMID: 25762937; PMCID: [PMC4340167](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4340167)
- 20. Thayer JF, Lane RD. A model of neurovisceral integration in emotion regulation [and dysregulation. J Affect Disord. 2000;61:201–16. DOI:](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-0327(00)00338-4) 10.1016/s0165-0327(00) 00338-4. PMID: 11163422
- 21. Thayer JF, Lane RD. Claude Bernard and the heart-brain connection: further elaboration of a model of neurovisceral integration. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2009;33:81–8. DOI: [10.1016/j.neubiorev.2008.08.004.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2008.08.004) PMID: 18771686
- 22. Thayer JF, Åhs F, Fredrikson M, Sollers JJ, Wager TD. A meta-analysis of heart rate variability and neuroimaging studies: implications for heart rate variability as a marker of [stress and health. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2012;36:747–56. DOI:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2011.11.009) 10.1016/j.neubiorev. 2011.11.009. PMID: 22178086
- 23. Thayer JF, Hansen AL, Saus-Rose E, Johnsen BH. Heart rate variability, prefrontal neural function, and cognitive performance: the neurovisceral integration perspective on self-regulation, adaptation, and health. Ann Behav Med. 2009;37:141–53. DOI: [10.1007/s12160-009-9101-z.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-009-9101-z) PMID: 19424767
- 24. Melo HM, Nascimento LM, Takase E. Mental fatigue and heart rate variability (HRV): the time-on-task effect. Psychol Neurosci. 2017;10:428–36. DOI: [10.1037/pne0000110.](https://doi.org/10.1037/pne0000110)
- 25. Melo HM, Hoeller AA, Walz R, Takase E. Resting cardiac vagal tone is associated with long-term frustration level of mental workload: ultra-short term recording reliability. [Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback. 2020;45\(1\):1–9. DOI:](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10484-019-09445-z) 10.1007/s10484-019-09445 z. PMID: 31286301
- 26. Kemp AH, Quintana DS, Gray MA, Felmingham KL, Brown K, Gatt JM. Impact of depression and antidepressant treatment on heart rate variability: a review and [meta-analysis. Biol Psychiatry. 2010;67:1067–74. DOI:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.12.012) 10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.12. 012. PMID: 20138254
- 27. Chalmers JA, Quintana DS, Abbott MJ-A, Kemp AH. Anxiety disorders are associated with reduced heart rate variability: a meta-analysis. Front Psychiatry. 2014;5:80. DOI: [10.3389/fpsyt.2014.00080.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2014.00080) PMID: 25071612; PMCID: [PMC4092363](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4092363)
- 28. Melo HM, de Carvalho CR, Hoeller AA, Marques JLB, Linhares MN, Lopes MW, et al. AM-PAr GluA1 phosphorylation at serine 845 in limbic system is associated with cardiac autonomic tone. Mol Neurobiol. 2021;58:1859–70. DOI: [10.1007/s12035-020-02272-y.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-020-02272-y) PMID: 33404979
- 29. Sakaki M, Yoo HJ, Nga L, Lee T-H, Thayer JF, Mather M. Heart rate variability is associated with amygdala functional connectivity with MPFC across younger and older adults. Neuroimage. 2016;139:44–52. DOI: [10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.05.076.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.05.076) PMID: 27261160; PMCID: [PMC5133191](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5133191)
- 30. Hasen M, Almojuela A, Zeiler FA. Autonomic dysfunction and associations with functional and neurophysiological outcome in moderate/severe traumatic brain injury: a scoping review. J Neurotrauma. 2019;36:1491–504. DOI: [10.1089/neu.2018.6073.](https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.2018.6073) PMID: 30343625
- 31. Galea OA, Cottrell MA, Treleaven JM, O'Leary SP. Sensorimotor and physiological indicators of impairment in mild traumatic brain injury: a meta-analysis. Neurorehabil Neural Repair. 2018;32:115–28. DOI: [10.1177/1545968318760728.](https://doi.org/10.1177/1545968318760728) PMID: 29554850
- 32. Vistisen ST, Hansen TK, Jensen J, Nielsen JF, Fleischer J. Heart rate variability in neurorehabilitation patients with severe acquired brain injury. Brain Inj. 2014;28:196– 202. DOI: [10.3109/02699052.2013.860477.](https://doi.org/10.3109/02699052.2013.860477) PMID: 24295072
- 33. Keren O, Yupatov S, Radai MM, Elad-Yarum R, Faraggi D, Abboud S, et al. Heart rate variability (HRV) of patients with traumatic brain injury (TBI) during the post-insult subacute period. Brain Inj. 2005;19:605–11. DOI: [10.1080/02699050400024946.](https://doi.org/10.1080/02699050400024946) PMID: 16175814
- 34. Hilz MJ, Wang R, Markus J, Ammon F, Hösl KM, Flanagan SR, et al. Severity of traumatic brain injury correlates with long-term cardiovascular autonomic dysfunction. J Neurol. 2017;264:1956–67. DOI: [10.1007/s00415-017-8581-1.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-017-8581-1) PMID: 28770375; PMCID: [PMC5587629](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5587629)
- 35. Rapenne T, Moreau D, Lenfant F, Vernet M, Boggio V, Cottin Y, et al. Could heart rate variability predict outcome in patients with severe head injury? J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. 2001;13:260–8. DOI: [10.1097/00008506-200107000-00016.](https://doi.org/10.1097/00008506-200107000-00016) PMID: 11426105
- 36. Sung C-W, Lee H-C, Chiang Y-H, Chiu W-T, Chu S-F, Ou J-C, et al. Early dysautonomia detected by heart rate variability predicts late depression in female patients following [mild traumatic brain injury. Psychophysiology. 2016;53:455–64. DOI:](https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.12575) 10.1111/psyp. 12575. PMID: 26560198
- 37. Liao K-H, Sung C-W, Chu S-F, Chiu W-T, Chiang Y-H, Hoffer B, et al. Reduced power spectra of heart rate variability are correlated with anxiety in patients with mild traumatic brain injury. Psychiatry Res. 2016;243:349–56. DOI: [10.1016/j.psychres.2016.07.001.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2016.07.001) PMID: 27449003
- 38. Melo HM, Martins TC, Nascimento LM, Hoeller AA, Walz R, Takase E. Ultra-short heart rate variability recording reliability: the effect of controlled paced breathing. Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol. 2018;23(5):e12565. DOI: [10.1111/anec.12565.](https://doi.org/10.1111/anec.12565) PMID: 29863781; PMCID: [PMC6931441](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6931441)
- 39. Nussinovitch U, Elishkevitz KP, Katz K, Nussinovitch M, Segev S, Volovitz B, et al. Reliability of ultra-short ECG indices for heart rate variability. Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol. 2011;16:117–22. DOI: [10.1111/j.1542-474X.2011.00417.x.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1542-474X.2011.00417.x) PMID: 21496161; PMCID: [PMC6932379](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6932379)
- 40. Munoz ML, Van Roon A, Riese H, Thio C, Oostenbroek E, Westrik I, et al. Validity of (Ultra-)Short recordings for heart rate variability measurements. PLoS One.

2015;10(9):e0138921. DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0138921.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0138921) PMID: 26414314; PMCID: [PMC4586373](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4586373)

- 41. Melo HM, Marques JLB, Fialho GL, Wolf P, D'Ávila A, Lin K, et al. Ultra-short heart rate variability reliability for cardiac autonomic tone assessment in mesial temporal lobe epilepsy. Epilepsy Res. 2021;174:106662. DOI: [10.1016/j.eplepsyres.2021.106662.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eplepsyres.2021.106662) PMID: 34023634
- 42. Nussinovitch U, Cohen O, Kaminer K, Ilani J, Nussinovitch N. Evaluating reliability of ultra-short ECG indices of heart rate variability in diabetes mellitus patients. J Diabetes Complications. 2012;26:450–3. DOI: [10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2012.05.001.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2012.05.001) PMID: 22682758
- 43. Saboul D, Pialoux V, Hautier C. The impact of breathing on HRV measurements: implications for the longitudinal follow-up of athletes. Eur J Sport Sci. 2013;13:534–42. DOI: [10.1080/17461391.2013.767947.](https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2013.767947) PMID: 24050471
- 44. Heart rate variability: standards of measurement, physiological interpretation, and clinical use. Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. Eur Heart J. 1996;17:354–81.
- 45. Thong T, Li K, McNames J, Aboy M, Goldstein B. Accuracy of ultra-short heart rate variability measures. Proceedings of the 25th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (IEEE Cat No03CH37439). 2003;3:2424–7. DOI: [10.1109/IEMBS.2003.1280405.](https://doi.org/10.1109/IEMBS.2003.1280405)
- 46. Thayer JF, Yamamoto SS, Brosschot JF. The relationship of autonomic imbalance, heart rate variability and cardiovascular disease risk factors. Int J Cardiol. 2010;141:122–31. DOI: [10.1016/j.ijcard.2009.09.543.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2009.09.543) PMID: 19910061
- 47. Kemp AH, Koenig J, Thayer JF. From psychological moments to mortality: a multidisciplinary synthesis on heart rate variability spanning the continuum of time. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2017;83:547–67. DOI: [10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.09.006.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.09.006) PMID: 28888535
- 48. Dantas EM, Kemp AH, Andreão RV, da Silva VJD, Brunoni AR, Hoshi RA, et al. Reference values for short-term resting-state heart rate variability in healthy adults: results from the brazilian longitudinal study of adult health-ELSA-Brasil study. Psychophysiology. 2018;55(6):e13052. DOI: [10.1111/psyp.13052.](https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.13052) PMID: 29292837
- 49. Nakamura FY, Flatt AA, Pereira LA, Ramirez-Campillo R, Loturco I, Esco MR. Ultra-short-term heart rate variability is sensitive to training effects in team sports players.
J Sports Sci Med. 2015;14:602–5. PMID: 26336347; PMCID: [PMC4541125](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4541125)
- 50. Goldstein B, Toweill D, Lai S, Sonnenthal K, Kimberly B. Uncoupling of the autonomic and cardiovascular systems in acute brain injury. Am J Physiol. 1998;275:R1287–92. DOI: [10.1152/ajpregu.1998.275.4.R1287.](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.1998.275.4.R1287) PMID: 9756562
- 51. Deepika A, Devi BI, Shukla D, Sathyaprabha TN, Christopher R, Ramesh SS. Neuroimmunology of traumatic brain injury: a longitudinal study of interdependency of inflammatory markers and heart rate variability in severe traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma. 2018;35:1124–31. DOI: [10.1089/neu.2017.5151.](https://doi.org/ ignorespaces 10.1089/neu.2017.5151) PMID: 29304719
- 52. King ML, Lichtman SW, Seliger G, Ehert FA, Steinberg JS. Heart-rate variabil[ity in chronic traumatic brain injury. Brain Inj. 1997;11:445–53. DOI:](https://doi.org/10.1080/026990597123421) 10.1080/ 026990597123421. PMID: 9171929
- 53. Francis HM, Fisher A, Rushby JA, McDonald S. Reduced heart rate variability in chronic severe traumatic brain injury: association with impaired emotional and social functioning, and potential for treatment using biofeedback. Neuropsychol Rehabil. 2016;26:103–25. DOI: [10.1080/09602011.2014.1003246.](https://doi.org/10.1080/09602011.2014.1003246) PMID: 25627984
- 54. Shaffer F, Ginsberg JP. An overview of heart rate variability metrics and norms. Front Public Health. 2017;5:258. DOI: [10.3389/fpubh.2017.00258.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2017.00258) PMID: 29034226; PMCID: **[PMC5624990](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5624990)**
- 55. Kemp AH, Quintana DS. The relationship between mental and physical health: insights [from the study of heart rate variability. Int J Psychophysiol. 2013;89:288–96. DOI:](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2013.06.018) 10. 1016/j.ijpsycho.2013.06.018. PMID: 23797149
- 56. Tarvainen MP, Niskanen J-P, Lipponen JA, Ranta-Aho PO, Karjalainen PA. Kubios HRV – heart rate variability analysis software. Comput Methods Programs Biomed. 2014;113:210–20. DOI: [10.1016/j.cmpb.2013.07.024.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2013.07.024) PMID: 24054542

Publisher's note: Genomic Press maintains a position of impartiality and neutrality regarding territorial assertions represented in published materials and affiliations of institutional nature. As such, we will use the affiliations provided by the authors, without editing them. Such use simply reflects what the authors submitted to us and it does not indicate that Genomic Press supports any type of territorial assertions.

Open Access. This article is licensed to Genomic Press under the Cre- \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc ative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0). The license mandates: (1) Attribution: Credit must be given to the original work, with a link to the license and notification of any changes. The acknowledgment should not imply licensor endorsement. (2) NonCommercial: The material cannot be used for commercial purposes. (3) NoDerivatives: Modified versions of the work cannot be distributed. (4) No additional legal or technological restrictions may be applied beyond those stipulated in the license. Public domain materials or those covered by statutory exceptions are exempt from these terms. This license does not cover all potential rights, such as publicity or privacy rights, which may restrict material use. Third-party content in this article falls under the article's Creative Commons license unless otherwise stated. If use exceeds the license scope or statutory regulation, permission must be obtained from the copyright holder. For complete license details, visit https://creativecommons. [org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/. The license is provided without warranties.](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)